arXiv:1404.3339v1 [math.RA] 13 Apr 2014

WEYL IMAGES OF KANTOR PAIRS

BRUCE ALLISON, JOHN FAULKNER, AND OLEG SMIRNOV

Abstract. Kantor pairs arise naturally in the study of 5-graded Lie algebras. In this article, we begin the study of simple Kantor pairs of arbitrary dimension. We introduce Weyl images of Kantor pairs and use them to construct examples of Kantor pairs including a new class of central simple Kantor pairs.

Assume here for simplicity that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ or 3 (although we will relax this assumption in much of the paper). A *Kantor pair* over $\mathbb{F}$ is a pair $P = (P^-, P^+)$ of $\mathbb{F}$-spaces together with two trilinear products $\{\ ,\ ,\ \}^\sigma : P^\sigma \times P^{-\sigma} \times P^\sigma \to P^\sigma$, $\sigma = \pm$, satisfying two 5-linear identities (K1) and (K2) (see Subsection 3.1 or [AF1]). These structures arise naturally in the study of 5-*graded Lie algebras*, by which we mean $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebras of the form $L = L_{-2} \oplus L_{-1} \oplus L_0 \oplus L_1 \oplus L_2$. Indeed, if L is 5-graded Lie algebra, then the pair (L_{-1}, L_1) has the structure of a Kantor pair, called *the Kantor pair enveloped by* L, where the two products are restrictions of the product $[[x, y], z]$ on L. Conversely, given a Kantor pair P there exists a 5-graded Lie algebra (not in general unique) that envelops P. This relationship between 5-graded Lie algebras and Kantor pairs, which we view schematically as

$$\text{5-graded Lie algebras} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{Kantor pairs}, \tag{1}$$

is an important tool in the study of each of these structures, and it generalizes the well-known relationship between 3-graded Lie algebras and Jordan pairs [N2, §1.5].

To describe some background, we note that Isai Kantor studied a class of triple systems, which we call *Kantor triple systems*, in his foundational paper [K1]. He developed the relationship of Kantor triple systems with 5-graded Lie algebras that possess grade-reversing period 2 automorphisms. He used this relationship to obtain a classification of finite dimensional nonpolarized (see Subsection 2.1) simple Kantor triple systems over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Kantor triple systems constitute one of the largest classes of nonassociative objects for which such a classification result has been obtained. The class includes Jordan triple systems as well as triple systems constructed from associative algebras, alternative algebras, Jordan algebras and many other interesting exceptional objects. Furthermore, it includes Freudenthal triple systems (with suitably modified products [KS, §1]), which have appeared recently in several important physical models (see [G], [BDDRE], [MQSTZ], and the references therein).

Given a Kantor triple system one can, as in the Jordan case, construct a Kantor pair by doubling (see Subsection 2.1), but not every Kantor pair arises in this way. So in this sense Kantor pairs are generalizations of Kantor triple systems.

Date: April 12, 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B60, 17B70; Secondary 17C99, 17B65.
Key words and phrases. Kantor pairs, graded Lie algebras, Jordan pairs.

Moreover, pairs are more natural objects to consider from the view-point of graded Lie algebras, since 5-graded Lie algebras need not possess grade-reversing period 2 automorphisms (see Remark 3.3.2(iv)).

In this paper, we begin the study of simple Kantor pairs of arbitrary dimension over $\mathbb{F}$. Since a simple Kantor pair is central simple over its centroid, we focus mainly on central simple pairs. We develop some basic properties of central simple Kantor pairs, use BC_2-graded Lie algebras to define and study Weyl images of Kantor pairs with short Peirce gradings, and conclude by using Weyl images to construct some important examples of central simple Kantor pairs.

Our results here will be used in a paper [AS] by the first and third authors that contains a structure theorem for central simple Kantor pairs over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, 3 or 5. The theorem asserts that these pairs occur in four classes: a class of Jordan pairs, the class of (finite dimensional) central simple Kantor pairs of exceptional type, a new class of Kantor pairs constructed from hermitian forms, and a new class that we introduce in Section 6 using Weyl images.

We conclude this introduction by briefly outlining the contents of the paper. After some preliminaries on root graded Lie algebras in Section 1 and trilinear pairs in Section 2, we recall or prove some basic properties of Kantor pairs in Section 3. The most important of these properties for us is the fact that the relationship (1) restricts to a *one-to-one* correspondence between central simple 5-graded Lie algebras up to graded isomorphism and central simple Kantor pairs up to isomorphism.

In Section 4, we introduce short Peirce graded Kantor pairs and BC_2-graded Lie algebras. A *short Peirce grading* (or *SP-grading*) of a Kantor pair P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of P whose support is contained in $\{0,1\}$; whereas a BC_2*-graded Lie algebra* is a Lie algebra graded by the root lattice of the root system Δ of type BC_2 with support contained in $\Delta \cup \{0\}$. (The latter definition is convenient for us but not standard. See Subsection 1.2.) We establish a relationship between BC_2-graded Lie algebras and SP-graded Kantor pairs that is analogous to (1). (In fact it can be viewed as the rank two version of (1), since 5-graded Lie algebras are precisely the same as BC_1-graded Lie algebras.)

In Section 5, we define and study Weyl images of SP-graded Kantor pairs. To describe these briefly, let P be an SP-graded Kantor pair and let u be an element of the Weyl group W_Δ of the root system Δ of type BC_2. Then P is enveloped by a BC_2-graded Lie algebra L. We use u to adjust the grading of L in an evident fashion to obtain a BC_2-graded Lie algebra ${}^uL$, which in turn envelops a Kantor pair ${}^uP$, called a *Weyl image* of P. This gives us a well-defined action of the group W_Δ on the class of SP-graded Kantor pairs, and it is clear that Weyl images of central simple SP-graded Kantor pairs are central simple. A particularly interesting case occurs when u is the reflection corresponding to the short basic root, in which case we denote ${}^uP$ by $\breve{P}$ and call it simply the *reflection* of P.

The reader may initially suspect that the reflection $\breve{P}$ of an SP-graded Kantor pair P is just P with a different SP-grading. However, it turns out that P and $\breve{P}$ are not in general isomorphic *even as ungraded pairs*. This suggests a strategy for giving new constructions of Kantor pairs: Start with a Kantor pair P, choose an appropriate SP-grading of P and form the reflection $\breve{P}$ of P with that grading. In the last two sections we look in detail at two examples of this strategy where we obtain a pair $\breve{P}$ with quite different properties than P.

First, in Section 6, we start with a nondegenerate bilinear mapping $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(V^\sigma) \geq 3$. Let $\tilde{g}$ be the symmetric bilinear form on $V = V^- \oplus V^+$ that extends g and is zero on $V^\sigma \times V^\sigma$, $\sigma = \pm$; and let $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ be Lie algebra of finite rank endomorphisms of V that are skew-relative to $\tilde{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is central simple and has a natural BC_2-grading, so it envelops a central simple SP-graded Kantor pair $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$, which is infinite dimensional unless each V^σ is finite dimensional. The pair $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ is known to be Jordan [LB], but its reflection $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ is not. Moreover, Kantor pairs of the form $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ make up the fourth class of central simple Kantor pairs appearing in the structure theorem mentioned above.

In Section 7, we start from a non-degenerate bilinear map $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$, where this time $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(V^\sigma) = 6$, and use the exterior algebras $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$, $\sigma = \pm$, to construct the (finite dimensional) split central simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}$ of type E_6. This is a basis free version, with full proofs, of the construction of the complex Lie algebra E_6 given by Élie Cartan in his 1894 thesis [C, §V.18, pp.89–90]. In this form $\mathcal{E}$ has a natural BC_2-grading, which is strikingly similar to the grading of $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ arising in Section 6; and the SP-graded Kantor pair enveloped by $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\bigwedge_3 = (\bigwedge_3(V^-), \bigwedge_3(V^+))$ with an easily remembered basis free product and a natural SP-grading. The pair $\bigwedge_3$ is not Jordan, but it is close to Jordan in a sense that we make precise in Subsection 3.4. In contrast, its reflection $\bigwedge_3^\smile$ is not close to Jordan; and it turns out that it is a Kantor pair of particular interest in the theory of finite dimensional central simple Kantor pairs (see Remark 7.5.5). The pair $\bigwedge_3^\smile$ was constructed originally by Kantor using tensors a_{ijk} which are skew-symmetric with respect to i, j, where $i, j = 1, \ldots, 5$, $k = 1, 2$ [K2, §4]. Our approach using reflection gives a simple new construction of this interesting Kantor pair.

We close this introduction by mentioning a paper [F], by the second author, that also uses exterior algebras to construct Lie algebras of exceptional type. The Lie algebras obtained there have natural $\mathbb{Z}_3$-gradings rather than 5-gradings or BC_2-gradings; and the constructions use exterior algebras of 9-dimensional spaces rather then 6-dimensional spaces.

Throughout the article, we assume that $\mathbb{F}$ is a unital commutative associative ring of scalars containing $\frac{1}{6}$. We will make additional assumptions on $\mathbb{F}$ when needed. Unless indicated otherwise, by a module (resp. an algebra) we will mean a module (resp. an algebra) over $\mathbb{F}$. If V and W are $\mathbb{F}$-modules, we will abbreviate $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, W)$, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ and (if $\mathbb{F}$ is a field) $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$ by $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$, $\mathrm{End}(V)$ and $\dim(V)$ respectively. Then, as usual, $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is an associative algebra under composition and a Lie algebra under the commutator product (and it will always be clear which is being considered).

1. Root graded Lie algebras

1.1. **Root systems.** In this paper, a *root system* will mean a finite root system Δ in a finite dimensional real Euclidean space E_Δ as described for example in [B2, VI, §3]. We use the notation $Q_\Delta := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Delta)$ for the *root lattice* of Δ. The *automorphism group of* Δ, denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$, is the stabilizer of Δ in $\mathrm{GL}(E_\Delta)$. Using the restriction map, we often identify $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ with the stabilizer of Δ in $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_\Delta)$. The *Weyl group* of Δ, which is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$, will be denoted by W_Δ. A root system Δ is said to be *reduced* if $\Delta \cap (2\Delta) = \emptyset$. Recall that for

each rank $n \geq 1$, there exists a unique irreducible non-reduced root system of rank n up to isomorphism [B2, VI, §14]. This root system is said to have *type* $\mathrm{BC_n}$.

1.2. **Root graded Lie algebras.** Let Δ be a root system. A Δ-*grading* of a Lie algebra L is a Q_Δ-grading of L such that $\mathrm{supp}_{Q_\Delta}(L) \subseteq \Delta \cup \{0\}$, where $\mathrm{supp}_{Q_\Delta}(L)$ denotes the *support* of L in Q_Δ. In that case we call L together with the Δ-grading a Δ-*graded Lie algebra*. (We note that this definition is less restrictive than the one used in [ABG], [BS] and several earlier papers, since we do not assume the existence of a grading subalgebra.) If Δ is irreducible of type $\mathrm{X_n}$, we often say that L is $\mathrm{X_n}$-*graded*; and we often refer to a Q_Δ-graded isomorphism of $\mathrm{X_n}$-graded Lie algebras as an $\mathrm{X_n}$-*graded isomorphism*.

If we fix a base $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ for Δ, we can identify Q_Δ with $\mathbb{Z}^n$ using the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis Γ for Q_Δ. With this identification, every Δ-graded Lie algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded Lie algebra (but not conversely of course).

1.3. **Images of Δ-graded Lie algebras under the left action of** $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$**.** Suppose that L is a Δ-graded Lie algebra. If $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$, we let ${}^\theta L$ be the Δ-graded Lie algebra such that ${}^\theta L = L$ as Lie algebras and

$$({}^\theta L)_\alpha = L_{\theta^{-1}\alpha} \tag{2}$$

for $\alpha \in Q_\Delta$. We call ${}^\theta L$ the θ-*image* of L, and if $\theta \in W_\Delta$ we call ${}^\theta L$ a *Weyl image* of L. Clearly

$${}^1 L = L \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{\theta_1}({}^{\theta_2} L) = {}^{\theta_1\theta_2} L \tag{3}$$

for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$, so we have a left action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta)$ on the class of Δ-graded Lie algebras.

2. Trilinear pairs

2.1. **Terminology.** A *trilinear pair* is a pair $P = (P^-, P^+)$ of $\mathbb{F}$-modules together with two trilinear maps $\{\,,\,,\,\}^\sigma : P^\sigma \times P^{-\sigma} \times P^\sigma \to P^\sigma$, $\sigma = \pm$, which we call the products on P. If needed, we will call $\{\,,\,,\,\}^\sigma$ the σ-*product* on P. We define the *D-operator* $D^\sigma(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}) \in \mathrm{End}(P^\sigma)$ for $x^\sigma \in P^\sigma$, $y^{-\sigma} \in P^{-\sigma}$ by

$$D^\sigma(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma})z^\sigma = \{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\}^\sigma;$$

and we define the *K-operator* $K^\sigma(x^\sigma, z^\sigma) \in \mathrm{Hom}(P^{-\sigma}, P^\sigma)$ for $x^\sigma, z^\sigma \in P^\sigma$ by

$$K^\sigma(x^\sigma, z^\sigma)y^{-\sigma} = \{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\}^\sigma - \{z^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, x^\sigma\}^\sigma.$$

When no confusion will arise, we usually write $\{\,,\,,\,\}^\sigma$, $D^\sigma(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma})$ and $K^\sigma(x^\sigma, z^\sigma)$ simply as $\{\,,\,,\,\}$, $D(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma})$ and $K(x^\sigma, z^\sigma)$ respectively; and we sometimes also omit superscripts in our notation for elements in P^σ.

A *homomorphism* from a trilinear pair P into a trilinear pair P' is a pair $\omega = (\omega^-, \omega^+)$ of linear maps such that $\omega^\sigma\{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\} = \{\omega^\sigma x^\sigma, \omega^{-\sigma} y^{-\sigma}, \omega^\sigma z^\sigma\}$ for $x^\sigma, z^\sigma \in P^\sigma$, $y^{-\sigma} \in P^{-\sigma}$, $\sigma = \pm$. A homomorphism ω is called an *isomorphism* if each ω^σ is bijective.

If P is a trilinear pair and $Q = (Q^-, Q^+)$, where Q^σ is a submodule of P^σ for $\sigma = \pm$, then Q is called a *subpair*, *ideal* or *left ideal* of P if $\{Q^\sigma, Q^{-\sigma}, Q^\sigma\} \subseteq Q^\sigma$, $\{P^\sigma, P^{-\sigma}, Q^\sigma\} + \{P^\sigma, Q^{-\sigma}, P^\sigma\} + \{Q^\sigma, P^{-\sigma}, P^\sigma\} \subseteq Q^\sigma$ or $\{P^\sigma, P^{-\sigma}, Q^\sigma\} \subseteq Q^\sigma$ respectively for $\sigma = \pm$. A trilinear pair P is said to be *simple* if $\{P^\sigma, P^{-\sigma}, P^\sigma\} \neq \{0\}$ for $\sigma = +$ or $\sigma = -$ and the only ideals of P are P and $\{0\}$.

The *centroid* of a trilinear pair P is the subalgebra $\mathrm{C}(P)$ of the associative algebra $\mathrm{End}(P^-) \oplus \mathrm{End}(P^+)$ consisting of the pairs of maps $(\omega^-, \omega^+) \in \mathrm{End}(P^-) \oplus$

$\mathrm{End}(P^+)$ such that $\omega^\sigma(\{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\}) = \{\omega^\sigma(x^\sigma), y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\} = \{x^\sigma, \omega^{-\sigma}(y^{-\sigma}), z^\sigma\} = \{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, \omega^\sigma(z^\sigma)\}$. We say P is *central* if the homomorphism $a \mapsto a(\mathrm{id}_{P^-}, \mathrm{id}_{P^+})$ from $\mathbb{F}$ into $\mathrm{C}(P)$ is an isomorphism.

There is an evident notion of *direct sum* for trilinear pairs.

The *opposite* of a trilinear pair $P = (P^-, P^+)$ is the trilinear pair $P^{\mathrm{op}} = (P^+, P^-)$ whose σ-product is the $-\sigma$-product of P for $\sigma = \pm$.

If $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ is an extension of rings of scalars and P is a trilinear pair, then the products on P canonically induce $\mathbb{E}$-trilinear products on $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P := (\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P^-, \mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P^+)$ so that $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P$ is a trilinear pair over $\mathbb{E}$.

Suppose that P is a trilinear pair, G is an abelian group (written additively), and $P^\sigma = \bigoplus_{g \in G} P^\sigma_g$ for $\sigma = \pm$, where P^σ_g is a submodule of P^σ for $g \in G$, $\sigma = \pm$. We say that $P = (\bigoplus_{g \in G} P^-_g, \bigoplus_{g \in G} P^+_g)$ is a *G-grading* of P if

$$\{P^\sigma_g, P^{-\sigma}_k, P^\sigma_\ell\} \subseteq P^\sigma_{g-k+\ell}$$

for $g, k, \ell \in G$, $\sigma = \pm$. (Here we follow the terminology in [LN, §8.1]. This notion of grading is equivalent to the usual one if we replace P^σ_g by $P^\sigma_{\sigma g}$ for each σ and g.) We often then write

$$P = \bigoplus_{g \in G} P_g, \tag{4}$$

where $P_g := (P^-_g, P^+_g)$ for $g \in G$. Note that each P_g is a subpair of P; however the sum (4) is not in general a direct sum of trilinear pairs, since the subpairs P_g need not be ideals. The *G-support* of P is defined to be $\mathrm{supp}_G(P) = \{g \in G : P^\sigma_g \neq 0 \text{ for } \sigma = + \text{ or } \sigma = -\}$.

Finally suppose that X is a *triple system*, by which we mean an $\mathbb{F}$-module with trilinear product $\{\ ,\ ,\ \} : X \times X \times X \to X$. A *polarization* of X is an $\mathbb{F}$-module decomposition $X = X^- \oplus X^+$ such that $\{X^\sigma, X^{-\sigma}, X^\sigma\} \subseteq X^\sigma$, $\{X^\sigma, X^\sigma, X\} = 0$ and $\{X, X^\sigma, X^\sigma\} = 0$ for $\sigma = \pm$; and we say that X is *non-polarized* if it has no polarizations.

If X is a triple system, the trilinear pair (X, X) with products defined by $\{x, y, z\}^\sigma = \{x, y, z\}$ is called the *double* of X. It is easy to check (and well known) that the pair (X, X) is simple if and only if the triple system X is simple and non-polarized.

Remark 2.1.1. In the rest of the paper, we will often discuss simplicity and isomorphism of graded algebras and graded trilinear pairs. To be clear, *the terms simple and isomorphism will be used in the ungraded sense* as defined above, unless we specify to the contrary.

3. Kantor pairs and 5-graded (BC_1-graded) Lie algebras

Throughout this section, we assume that

$$\Delta = \{-2\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, \alpha_1, 2\alpha_1\}$$

is the irreducible root system of type BC_1 *with base* $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1\}$. We identify $Q_\Delta = \mathbb{Z}$ using the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis Γ for Q_Δ (as in Subsection 1.2). Then a BC_1-grading of a Lie algebra L is the same thing as a 5-*grading* of L. (Recall that if $m \geq 1$, a $2m+1$-*grading of* L is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i$ with $L_i = 0$ for $\mid i \mid > m$.)

In this section, we recall the definition of a Kantor pair and how Kantor pairs are related to 5-graded Lie algebras.

3.1. **Kantor pairs.** A *Kantor pair* is a trilinear pair P such that the following identities hold

$$[D(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}), D(z^\sigma, w^{-\sigma})] = D(D(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma})z^\sigma, w^{-\sigma}) - D(z^\sigma, D(y^{-\sigma}, x^\sigma)w^{-\sigma}), \quad \text{(K1)}$$

$$K(x^\sigma, z^\sigma)D(w^{-\sigma}, u^\sigma) + D(u^\sigma, w^{-\sigma})K(x^\sigma, z^\sigma) = K(K(x^\sigma, z^\sigma)w^{-\sigma}, u^\sigma) \quad \text{(K2)}$$

for $x^\sigma, z^\sigma, u^\sigma \in P^\sigma$, $y^{-\sigma}, w^{-\sigma} \in P^{-\sigma}$, $\sigma = \pm$.

It is clear that the opposite of a Kantor pair is a Kantor pair.

Special Cases 3.1.1. (i) A *Jordan pair* P is a Kantor pair satisfying $K(P^\sigma, P^\sigma) = 0$ for $\sigma = \pm$. The structure theory of Jordan pairs is developed in detail in [L], where Jordan pairs are defined in a different way using quadratic operators. (However, since $\frac{1}{6} \in \mathbb{F}$, the two definitions are equivalent [L, Prop. 2.2].)

(ii) Suppose that X is a triple system. Then X is called a *Kantor triple system* if its double (X, X) is a Kantor pair. Kantor triple systems were introduced by Kantor in [K1, K2], where they were called generalized Jordan triple systems of the second order, and where numerous examples can be found. In the literature, Kantor triple systems are also often called $(-1, 1)$-*Freudenthal–Kantor triple systems*. (See [YO], as well as the recent papers [EO, EKO] and their references, for information about (ϵ, δ)-*Freudenthal–Kantor triple systems*, where $\epsilon, \delta = \pm 1$.)

(iii) Analogously, a triple system X with product $\{x, y, z\}$ is called a $(1, 1)$-*Freudenthal-Kantor triple system* if (X, X) is a Kantor pair under the products $\{x, y, z\}^\sigma = \sigma\{x, y, z\}$.

(iv) A *structurable algebra* is a unital algebra with involution $(A, -)$ such that A is a Kantor triple system under the product $\{x, y, z\} = 2((x\bar{y})z + (z\bar{y})x - (z\bar{x})y)$ on A. (Involution here means a period 2 anti-automorphism. Also, the 2 in the expression for $\{\ ,\ ,\ \}$ is unimportant; it is included for compatibility with the Jordan algebra case.) The double (A, A) of this Kantor triple system is also called the *double* of the structurable algebra A. See [A1] and [S] for many examples of structurable algebras, including all unital Jordan algebras and all unital alternative algebras with involution.

3.2. **The Kantor pair enveloped by a 5-graded Lie algebra.** If $L = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i$ is a 5-graded Lie algebra, then $P = (L_{-1}, L_1)$ is a Kantor pair with products defined by

$$\{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\} = [[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma]$$

for $x^\sigma, z^\sigma \in L_{\sigma 1}$, $y^{-\sigma} \in L_{-\sigma 1}$, $\sigma = \pm$. (See [AF1, Thm. 7], where $-[[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma]$ is used instead of $[[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma]$.) We call P the *Kantor pair enveloped by the* 5*-graded Lie algebra* L, and we say that *the* 5*-graded Lie algebra* L *envelops* P. (In a similar situation, Jacobson uses the term enveloping Lie algebra [J2, §3.1].)

If L is 3-graded, we see using the Jacobi identity that the pair (L_{-1}, L_1) enveloped by L is in fact Jordan.

If P is the Kantor pair enveloped by a 5-graded Lie algebra L, we let

$$T_L(P) := P^- \oplus P^+ = L_{-1} \oplus L_1 \quad \text{in } L.$$

Lemma 3.2.1. *Suppose that P is the Kantor pair enveloped by a* 5*-graded Lie algebra L. Then $T_L(P)$ is a triple system under the trilinear product $[[x, y], z]$. Moreover, this triple system depends only on P; specifically, if $x^\tau, y^\tau, z^\tau \in P^\tau$ for*

$\tau = \pm$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[x^\sigma, y^\sigma], z^\sigma] &= 0, & [[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma] &= \{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\}, \\ [[x^{-\sigma}, y^\sigma], z^\sigma] &= -\{y^\sigma, x^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\}, & [[x^\sigma, y^\sigma], z^{-\sigma}] &= K(x^\sigma, y^\sigma) z^{-\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Proof. It is clear from the grading that $T_L(P)$ is closed under the triple product $[[x, y], z]$; and (5) is easily checked. □

Remark 3.2.2. (i) Despite the conclusion in Lemma 3.2.1, we include the subscript L in the notation for $T_L(P)$ to emphasize that we are regarding $T_L(P)$ as a submodule of L.

(ii) The triple system $T_L(P)$ is a Lie triple system. Moreover, this triple system is sign-graded, which means that it is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded with support contained in $\{-1, 1\}$. This is the point of view taken in [AF1, §3–4] (and in special cases elsewhere), but for simplicity we won't make use of Lie triple systems in this work.

Lemma 3.2.3. *Suppose that P is the Kantor pair enveloped by a 5-graded Lie algebra L. Then the subalgebra $\langle T_L(P)\rangle_{alg}$ of L that is generated by $T_L(P)$ is a 5-graded ideal of L that envelops P. Moreover $\langle T_L(P)\rangle_{alg} = [T_L(P), T_L(P)] \oplus T_L(P)$ and $[T_L(P), T_L(P)] = [L_{-1}, L_{-1}] \oplus [L_{-1}, L_1] \oplus [L_{-1}, L_1]$.*

Proof. Let $T = T_L(P)$. Then since $T = L_{-1} \oplus L_1$ is a graded submodule of L, so is $\langle T\rangle_{\text{alg}}$. Further $[T, T] = [L_{-1}, L_{-1}] \oplus [L_{-1}, L_1] \oplus [L_1, L_1]$, and from this it is clear that $[T, T] \cap T = 0$ and $[T, T] \oplus T$ is an ideal of L. So $\langle T\rangle_{\text{alg}} = [T, T] \oplus T$. □

Definition 3.2.4. Suppose that L is a 5-graded Lie algebra and P is a Kantor pair. We say that L *tightly envelops* P if L envelops P,

$$\langle T_L(P)\rangle_{\text{alg}} = L \quad \text{and} \quad Z(L) \cap [T_L(P), T_L(P)] = 0, \tag{6}$$

where (here and subsequently) $Z(L)$ denotes the *centre* of the Lie algebra L.

If L is a 5-graded Lie algebra that envelops a Kantor pair P, it follows easily from Lemma 3.2.3 that we can replace L by $L' = \langle T_L(P)\rangle_{\text{alg}}$ and then replace L' by $L'' = L'/\left(Z(L') \cap [T_{L'}(P), T_{L'}(P)]\right)$ to get a 5-graded Lie algebra L'' that tightly envelops P (with the evident identifications of P^- and P^+ in L'').

Remark 3.2.5. Suppose that P is the Kantor pair enveloped by a 5-graded Lie algebra L. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta) = W_\Delta = \{1, -1\}$, we can form the Weyl images ${}^1L$ and ${}^{-1}L$ of L. Clearly the 5-graded Lie algebra ${}^1L = L$ envelops P, whereas the 5-graded Lie algebra ${}^{-1}L$ envelops the Kantor pair P^{op}, which in general in not isomorphic to P. In Section 5, we will look at this phenomenon for BC_2-graded Lie algebras, where the supply of Weyl images is richer.

3.3. **The Kantor construction.** To see that any Kantor pair is enveloped by a 5-graded Lie algebra, we now recall from [AF1, §3–4] the construction of a 5-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ from a Kantor pair P.

Let P be a Kantor pair. Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be the module of column vectors with entries as indicated, and canonically identify $\mathrm{End}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mathrm{End}(P^-) & \mathrm{Hom}(P^+, P^-) \\ \mathrm{Hom}(P^-, P^+) & \mathrm{End}(P^+) \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ so that the action of $\mathrm{End}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ on $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is by matrix multiplication. Then

$$\mathfrak{S}(P) := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\begin{bmatrix} D(x^-, x^+) & K(y^-, z^-) \\ K(y^+, z^+) & -D(x^+, x^-) \end{bmatrix} : x^\sigma, y^\sigma, z^\sigma \in P^\sigma,\ \sigma = \pm 1\}$$

is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{End}\left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ under the commutator product. Also

$$\mathfrak{K}(P) := \mathfrak{S}(P) \oplus \begin{bmatrix} P^- \\ P^+ \end{bmatrix},$$

is a Lie algebra under the anti-commutative product $[\,,\,]$ satisfying:

$$[A,B] = AB - BA, \quad [A, \begin{bmatrix} x^- \\ x^+ \end{bmatrix}] = A \begin{bmatrix} x^- \\ x^+ \end{bmatrix},$$
$$[\begin{bmatrix} x^- \\ x^+ \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y^- \\ y^+ \end{bmatrix}] = \begin{bmatrix} D(x^-,y^+) - D(y^-,x^+) & K(x^-,y^-) \\ K(x^+,y^+) & -D(y^+,x^-) + D(x^+,y^-) \end{bmatrix}$$

for $A, B \in \mathfrak{S}(P)$, $x^\sigma, y^\sigma \in P^\sigma$, $\sigma = \pm$. We call $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ the *Kantor Lie algebra* of P.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is 5-graded with

$$\mathfrak{K}(P)_{-2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K(P^-,P^-) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{K}(P)_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} P^- \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathfrak{K}(P)_0 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\begin{bmatrix} D(x^-,x^+) & 0 \\ 0 & -D(x^+,x^-) \end{bmatrix} : x^- \in P^-,\ x^+ \in P^+\}, \tag{7}$$
$$\mathfrak{K}(P)_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ P^+ \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathfrak{K}(P)_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K(P^+,P^+) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We call this 5-grading the *standard* 5*-grading* of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$. Unless mentioned otherwise we will regard $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ as a graded algebra with its standard 5-grading.

If P is a Kantor pair, *we identify* P^- *with* $\mathfrak{K}(P)_{-1} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} P^- \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *and* P^+ *with* $\mathfrak{K}(P)_1 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ P^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ in the evident fashion. With this identification the following is clear:

Proposition 3.3.1. *If P is a Kantor pair, then $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ with it standard* 5*-grading tightly envelops P.* (We will see in Corollary 3.6.2 that $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is uniquely determined up to graded isomorphism by this property.)

Remark 3.3.2. Suppose that P is a Kantor pair.

(i) P is Jordan if and only if $\mathfrak{K}(P)_{-2} = \mathfrak{K}(P)_2 = 0$, in which case the 3-graded (= A_1-graded) Lie algebra $\mathfrak{K}(P) = \mathfrak{K}(P)_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{K}(P)_0 \oplus \mathfrak{K}(P)_1$ is (graded-isomorphic to) the derived algebra of the *Tits-Kantor-Koecher Lie algebra* of P [LN, §9.1].

(ii) If $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, P is finite dimensional if and only if $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is finite dimensional.

(iii) If $\mathbb{F}$ is a field and $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ is a field extension, there is a canonical 5-graded $\mathbb{E}$-algebra isomorphism from $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{K}(P)$ onto $\mathfrak{K}(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P)$.

(iv) Suppose $P = (X,X)$ is the double of a Kantor triple system X. Then $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is the Lie algebra constructed by Kantor from X in [K1, K2], and it is easy to check that there is a unique grade-reversing period 2 automorphisms of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ which maps $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ for $x \in X$.

3.4. **Balanced dimension and 2-dimension.** Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, P is a Kantor pair, and d is a non-negative integer.

We say that P has *balanced dimension* d over $\mathbb{F}$ if $\dim(P^\sigma) = d$, or equivalently $\dim(\mathfrak{K}(P)_{\sigma 1}) = d$, for $\sigma = \pm$. We say that P has *balanced* 2*-dimension* d over $\mathbb{F}$ if $\dim(K(P^\sigma, P^\sigma)) = d$, or equivalently $\dim(\mathfrak{K}(P)_{\sigma 2}) = d$, for $\sigma = \pm$.

Kantor pairs of balanced 2-dimension 0 are precisely Jordan pairs. With this in mind, we view the balanced 2-dimension of a Kantor pair (when it is defined) as a measure of the pair's distance from Jordan theory.

Kantor pairs of balanced 2-dimension 1 can be thought of as being *close to Jordan*; and they are, after Jordan pairs, the most studied and best understood Kantor pairs in the literature. (See for example [KS] and [A2], and, for some earlier references, Kantor's MathSciNet review of [Y].) Indeed the double of a Freudenthal triple system (with suitably modified product) is close to Jordan in this sense.

3.5. **Simplicity and centrality.** The following proposition is proved in [GLN, Prop. 2.7(iii)].

Proposition 3.5.1. *If P is a Kantor pair, then P is simple if and only if $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is simple.*

Recall that the *centroid* of an algebra L is the subalgebra $\mathrm{C}(L)$ of the associative algebra $\mathrm{End}(L)$ consisting of the endomorphisms of L that commute with all left and right multiplication operators. We say that L is *central* if the homomorphism $a \mapsto a\,\mathrm{id}_L$ from $\mathbb{F}$ into $\mathrm{C}(L)$ is an isomorphism. If L is G-graded, where G is an abelian group, then $\mathrm{C}(L,G) := \{\chi \in \mathrm{C}(L) : \chi(L_g) \subseteq L_g \text{ for } g \in G\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{C}(L)$.

Lemma 3.5.2. *Suppose that P is a Kantor pair. Then the restriction map $\chi \mapsto (\chi\,|_{P^-}, \chi\,|_{P^+})$ is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P),\mathbb{Z})$ onto the centroid $\mathrm{C}(P)$ of P.*

Proof. All but surjectivity is clear. For surjectivity, suppose that $\omega = (\omega^-, \omega^+) \in \mathrm{C}(P)$. Define $\chi : \mathfrak{K}(P) \to \mathfrak{K}(P)$ by $\chi(X) = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \omega^- & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^+ \end{smallmatrix}\right] X$. Then one checks easily that $\chi \in \mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P),\mathbb{Z})$; and clearly χ restricts to ω. □

Proposition 3.5.3. *Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field and P is a simple Kantor pair over $\mathbb{F}$. Then $\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P),\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P))$. Further, the restriction map is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P))$ onto $\mathrm{C}(P)$, so P is central if and only if $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is central.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5.1, $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is simple. Also $\mathfrak{K}(P)_0 = [\mathfrak{K}(P)_{-1}, \mathfrak{K}(P)_1]$. So the equality $\mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P),\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{C}(\mathfrak{K}(P))$ follows from [Z2, Lemma 1.6(a)], and we are done by Lemma 3.5.2. □

The next proposition lists facts about Kantor pairs that are analogues of well-known facts for algebras. The first two of these tell us that the study of simple Kantor pairs over a field $\mathbb{F}$ is reduced to the study of central simple Kantor pairs over extension fields of $\mathbb{F}$.

Proposition 3.5.4. *Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field. Then*

(i) *If P is a simple Kantor pair over $\mathbb{F}$, then $\mathrm{C}(P)/\mathbb{F}$ is a field extension and P is a central simple Kantor pair over $\mathrm{C}(P)$.*
(ii) *If $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ is a field extension and P is a central simple Kantor pair over $\mathbb{E}$, then P is a simple Kantor pair over $\mathbb{F}$ with centroid $\mathbb{E}$.*
(iii) *P is a Kantor pair over $\mathbb{F}$, then P is central simple if and only if $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P$ is simple over $\mathbb{E}$ for all field extensions $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$.*

Proof. First (i) is easily checked. Next, using Proposition 3.5.1, Proposition 3.5.3 and Remark 3.3.2(iii), the statements (ii) and (iii) follow from the corresponding statements for Lie algebras. These can be found in [J1, Thm. X.3]. □

3.6. **Simple 5-graded Lie algebras enveloping simple Kantor pairs.** In this subsection, we see that each simple Kantor pair is enveloped by a unique simple 5-graded Lie algebra. As usual, we use the standard 5-grading on each Kantor Lie algebra.

Lemma 3.6.1. *Suppose that P and P' are Kantor pairs and L is a 5-graded Lie algebra that tightly envelops P. Let $\chi : P \to P'$ be an isomorphism of Kantor pairs. Then there exists a unique 5-graded algebra isomorphism $\varphi : L \to \mathfrak{K}(P')$ that extends $\chi^- \oplus \chi^+ : T_L(P) \to T_{\mathfrak{K}(P')}(P')$.*

Proof. Let $T = T_L(P)$. By assumption, $L = \langle T \rangle_{\mathrm{alg}}$; so uniqueness in the lemma is clear and, by Lemma 3.2.3, we have $L = [T, T] \oplus T$,

Next, let $L' = \mathfrak{K}(P')$, $T' = T_{L'}(P')$ and $\psi = \chi^- \oplus \chi^+ : T \to T'$. One sees using Lemma 3.2.1 that $\psi([x, y], z]) = [[\psi(x), \psi(y)], \psi(z)]$ for $x, y, z \in T$. Thus $\psi \operatorname{ad}([x, y]) \mid_T \psi^{-1} = \operatorname{ad}([\psi(x), \psi(y)]) \mid_{T'}$ for $x, y \in T$. Hence, since $\operatorname{ad}(d') \mid_{T'} = d'$ for $d' \in [T', T']$, we have

$$\psi \operatorname{ad}([x, y]) \mid_T \psi^{-1} = [\psi(x), \psi(y)]. \tag{8}$$

In view of (8), we have a surjective $\mathbb{F}$-linear map $\varphi : L \to L'$ defined by

$$\varphi(d + x) = \psi \operatorname{ad}(d) \mid_T \psi^{-1} + \psi(x)$$

for $d \in [T, T]$, $x \in T$. One checks directly using this definition and (8) that φ is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Further $\varphi|_T = \psi$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and hence, since $L = \langle T \rangle_{\mathrm{alg}}$, φ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Finally, let $d \in \ker(\varphi)$. Then, $d \in [T, T]$ and $\operatorname{ad}(d) \mid_T = 0$. Thus, $d \in [T, T] \cap Z(L) = 0$. □

Applying Lemma 3.6.1 with $P' = P$ and $\chi = (\mathrm{id}_{P^-}, \mathrm{id}_{P^+})$, we obtain:

Corollary 3.6.2. *If L is a 5-graded Lie algebra that tightly envelops a Kantor pair P then there exists a unique 5-graded algebra isomorphism $\varphi : L \to \mathfrak{K}(P)$ that restricts to the identity map on $T_L(P)$.*

Also, applying Lemma 3.6.1 with $L = \mathfrak{K}(P)$, we obtain:

Corollary 3.6.3. *Suppose that P and P' are Kantor pairs. If $\varphi : \mathfrak{K}(P) \to \mathfrak{K}(P')$ is a 5-graded isomorphism, then $(\varphi|_{P^-}, \varphi|_{P^+})$ is an isomorphism of P onto P'. Conversely, if $\chi = (\chi^-, \chi^+)$ is an isomorphism of P onto P', there exists a unique 5-graded isomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{K}(P) \to \mathfrak{K}(P')$ that extends $\chi^- \oplus \chi^+ : T_L(P) \to T_{\mathfrak{K}(P')}(P')$.*

Proof. The first statement is clear. The converse follows from Corollary 3.3.1 and Lemma 3.6.1 (with $L = \mathfrak{K}(P)$). □

Lemma 3.6.4. *Let P is a nonzero Kantor pair and L is a simple 5-graded Lie algebra that envelops P. Then L tightly envelops P.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.3, $\langle T_L(P) \rangle_{\mathrm{alg}}$ is an ideal of L, which is nonzero since $P \neq 0$. Also $Z(L) \cap [T_L(P), T_L(P)]$ is a ideal of L which is proper since $P \neq 0$. □

The next theorem will be among the basic tools in our study of simple Kantor pairs in this paper and in [AF2] and [AS]. Parts (i) and (ii) of the theorem provide the uniqueness statement mentioned at the outset of the subsection.

Theorem 3.6.5. *Suppose that P is a nonzero Kantor pair. Then*

(i) *The following statements are equivalent:*
   (a) *P is simple*

(b) *There exists a simple* 5*-graded Lie algebra* L *that envelops* P.

(ii) *If* L *is simple* 5*-graded Lie algebra that envelops* P*, then there exists a unique* 5*-graded isomorphism of* L *onto* $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ *that extends the identity on* $T_L(P)$.

(iii) *If* $\mathbb{F}$ *is a field, statements (a) and (b) with simple replaced by central simple are equivalent.*

(iv) *If* $\mathbb{F}$ *is a field and* L *is a simple* 5*-graded Lie algebra that envelops* P*, then* P *has balanced* 2*-dimension* d *if and only if* $\dim(L_{\sigma 2}) = d$ *for* $\sigma = \pm 1$.

Proof. If (a) holds, we know from Propositions 3.3.1 and 3.5.1 that $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is a simple 5-graded Lie algebra that envelops P. Conversely, suppose (b) holds. Then by Lemma 3.6.4 and Corollary 3.6.2 we have a unique 5-graded isomorphism as indicated in (ii). So P is simple by Proposition 3.5.1. This proves (i) and (ii); and (iii) is proved by the same argument using Proposition 3.5.3 in place of Proposition 3.5.1. Finally, (iv) follows from (ii). □

3.7. **Central simple Kantor pairs of type** $\mathrm{X_n}$**.** Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field and $\mathrm{X_n}$ is one of the types of an irreducible reduced root system. We begin by recalling some terminology for finite dimensional central simple Lie algebras over $\mathbb{F}$.

First let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra of type X_n over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, and choose a Chevalley basis B for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ [H, §25]. Then the $\mathbb{Z}$-span $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of B is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ which depends up to isomorphism only on the type X_n. So the Chevalley algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}} := \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, and the quotient algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}}/Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is a finite dimensional central simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$ [Se, §II.10 and §IV.1]. We call a Lie algebra that is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}}/Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{F}})$ the *split central simple Lie algebra of type* $\mathrm{X_n}$ over $\mathbb{F}$.

The split central simple Lie algebra of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ is characterized axiomatically in [Se, Chap. II] as the *classical Lie algebra* whose root system with respect to a classical Cartan subalgebra has Cartan matrix of type $\mathrm{X_n}$. If $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$, split central simple Lie algebras are precisely the split (necessarily central) simple Lie algebras studied in [J1, Chap. IV].

Suppose that L is a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. If for some field extension $\mathbb{E}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} L$ is the split central simple Lie algebra of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ over $\mathbb{E}$, then L is a central simple and we say that L is a *central simple Lie algebra of type* $\mathrm{X_n}$.

We note if $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$, any central simple Lie algebra L has type $\mathrm{X_n}$ for some $\mathrm{X_n}$; that is, the type of L is defined in this case.

In the next lemma, we make an additional assumption on the field $\mathbb{F}$:

$$\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid n+1 \text{ if } X_n = A_n; \text{ and } \mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 5 \text{ if } X_n = E_8. \tag{9}$$

This assumption allows us to use some Lie algebra results from [Se]:

Lemma 3.7.1. *Let* L *be a central simple Lie algebra of type* $\mathrm{X_n}$ *over a field* $\mathbb{F}$ *satisfying* (9)*. Let* $L = \oplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell} L_g$ *be a* $\mathbb{Z}^\ell$*-grading of* L *with support contained in* $\{-2,-1,0,1,2\}^\ell$*. Then* $\dim(L_g) = \dim(L_{-g})$ *for* $g \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$.

Proof. We can extend the base field and assume that L is split, so L is classical simple. Thus, by [Se, §II.10, pp.47–48], L has a non-degenerate invariant form ρ. It is enough then to show that for $g, g' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $g + g' \neq 0$, we have $\rho(L_g, L_{g'}) = 0$. For this write $g = (i_1, \ldots, i_\ell)$ and $g' = (i'_1, \ldots, i'_\ell)$, where say $i_k + i'_k \neq 0$. Now define $D_k \in \mathrm{End}(L)$ by $D_k(x) = j_k x$ for $x \in L_{(j_1,\ldots,j_\ell)}$. Then D_k is a derivation of L, and hence by [Se, §V.5, p. 112] there exists $d_k \in L$ such that $D_k = \mathrm{ad}(d_k)$. So,

for $x \in L_g$ and $x' \in L_{g'}$, we have $(i_k + i'_k)\rho(x, x') = \rho([d_k, x], x') + \rho(x, [d_k, x']) = 0$. Since $-4 \leq i_k + i'_k \leq 4$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$ or 3, this forces $\rho(x, x') = 0$. □

Definition 3.7.2. Suppose that P is a Kantor pair. If $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ is central simple (resp. split central simple) of type $\mathrm{X_n}$, then P is central simple and we say that P is *central simple (resp. split central simple) of type* X_n.

Note that by Theorem 3.6.5(ii), a Kantor pair P is central simple (resp. split central simple) of type X_n if and only if P is nonzero and enveloped by some 5-graded central simple (resp. split central simple) Lie algebra of type $\mathrm{X_n}$. Also, by Remark 3.3.2(iii), P is central simple of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ if and only if for some field extension $\mathbb{E}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} P$ is split central simple of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ over $\mathbb{E}$.

The reader should keep in mind that, unlike the situation for Lie algebras, there can be non-isomorphic split central simple Kantor pairs of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ (see Example 6.3.6).

If we apply Lemma 3.7.1 to $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ with its standard 5-grading, we obtain:

Corollary 3.7.3. *If P is a central simple Kantor pair of type X_n over a field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying* (9)*, then the balanced dimension and the balanced* 2*-dimension of P are defined.*

4. Short Peirce gradings and $\mathrm{BC_2}$-gradings

In this section, we assume that

$$\Delta = \{\pm\alpha_1, \pm\alpha_2, \pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm 2\alpha_1, \pm(2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \pm(2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2)\}. \tag{10}$$

is the irreducible root system of type $\mathrm{BC_2}$ *with base* $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. We identify $Q_\Delta = \mathbb{Z}^2$ using the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis Γ for Q_Δ. So, as in Subsection 1.2, any $\mathrm{BC_2}$-graded Lie algebra is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded Lie algebra.

In this section, we introduce Kantor pairs with short Peirce gradings and see how they are related to $\mathrm{BC_2}$-graded Lie algebras.

4.1. **Short Peirce gradings.**

Definition 4.1.1. A *short Peirce grading* (or *SP-grading*) of a Kantor pair P is a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $P = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} P_i$ of P such that $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. In that case we have $P = P_0 \oplus P_1$. A Kantor pair P together with a short Peirce grading of P is called a *short Peirce graded* (or *SP-graded*) Kantor pair.

Note that if P is an SP-graded Kantor pair, then

$$\{P_i^\sigma, P_{1-i}^{-\sigma}, P_i^\sigma\} = 0. \tag{11}$$

for $i = 0, 1$ and $\sigma = \pm$.

Every Kantor pair P has at least two SP-gradings, the *zero SP-grading* $P = P_0$ with $P_1 = 0$, and the *one SP-grading* $P = P_1$ with $P_0 = 0$. We call these two SP-gradings *trivial*.

The following example explains our use of the term short Peirce grading.

Example 4.1.2 (The Jordan case)**.** Suppose that P is a Jordan pair. Neher in [N1] has defined a *Peirce grading* of P to be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading $P = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} P_i$ of P such that $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P) \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$ and

$$\{P_2^\sigma, P_0^{-\sigma}, P_0^\sigma\} = \{P_0^\sigma, P_2^{-\sigma}, P_2^\sigma\} = 0 \tag{12}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$. (See also [LN, §8]), where Peirce gradings are used in the study of groups associated with Jordan pairs.) Clearly SP-gradings of P are precisely the same as Peirce gradings of P satisfying $P_2 = 0$. The motivating example in [N1] of a Peirce grading is the Peirce decomposition $P = P_0 \oplus P_1 \oplus P_2$ relative to an idempotent c in P [L, Thm. 5.4]. This Peirce grading is never short (if $c \neq 0$) since $c \in P_2$. However, a very important case in the structure theory of Jordan pairs occurs when $P_0 = 0$ [L, Thm. 8.2]. In that case, one obtains an SP-grading $P = \tilde{P}_0 \oplus \tilde{P}_1$, where $\tilde{P}_i = P_{2-i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4.1.3. Let P be an SP-graded Kantor pair. There are two simple procedures for modifying P to obtain another SP-graded Kantor pair.

(i) Let $P^{\mathrm{op}} = (P^+, P^-)$ be the opposite pair of P and set $(P^{\mathrm{op}})_i^\sigma = P_i^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma = \pm$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then P^{op} is an SP-graded Kantor pair called the *opposite* of P (as an SP-graded Kantor pair).

(ii) Let $\bar{P} = P$ as a Kantor pair and define $\bar{P}_i = P_{1-i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\bar{P}$ is an SP-graded Kantor pair called the *shift* of P. (We use this terminology since, if we view degrees modulo 2, we have shifted the SP-grading of P by 1 to obtain $\bar{P}$.) Note that if P has the zero SP-grading then $\bar{P}$ has the one SP-grading and vice-versa.

We will see in Subsection 5.1 that the SP-graded Kantor pairs P^{op} and $\bar{P}$ are examples of Weyl images of P.

4.2. **Component gradings.** If $L = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_{(i,j)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded $\mathbb{F}$-module, we often write $L_{(i,j)}$ as $L_{i,j}$ for brevity. Then the *first component grading* of L is the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$L = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{i,*}, \qquad \text{where } L_{i,*} = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{i,j}.$$

Similarly, we have the *second component* grading $L = \bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{*,j}$ with $L_{*,j} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{i,j}$. Of course, if $L = \bigoplus_{(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_{i,j}$ is an algebra grading, then so are its component gradings.

4.3. **The SP-graded Kantor pair enveloped by a BC_2-graded Lie algebra.** Suppose that L is a BC_2-graded Lie algebra. Then, by (10), the first component grading $L = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{i,*}$ of L is a 5-grading, and we have

$$L_{-1,*} = L_{-1,0} \oplus L_{-1,-1} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{1,*} = L_{1,0} \oplus L_{1,1}.$$

Let P be the Kantor pair enveloped by L with this 5-grading. So

$$P = (L_{-1,*}, L_{1,*}) = (L_{-1,0} \oplus L_{-1,-1}, L_{1,0} \oplus L_{1,1})$$

with products $\{x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}, z^\sigma\} = [[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma]$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $P_i = (P_i^-, P_i^+)$, where

$$P_i^\sigma = L_{\sigma 1, \sigma i}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$. Then $P = P_0 \oplus P_1$ is an SP-grading of P since

$$\{P_i^\sigma, P_j^{-\sigma}, P_k^\sigma\} = [[L_{\sigma 1,\sigma i}, L_{-\sigma 1,-\sigma j}], L_{\sigma 1,\sigma k}] \subseteq L_{\sigma 1,\sigma(i-j+k)} = P_{i-j+k}^\sigma$$

for $\sigma = \pm$, $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call P together with this SP-grading the *SP-graded Kantor pair enveloped by the* BC_2*-graded Lie algebra* L, and we say that *the* BC_2*-graded Lie algebra* L *envelops the SP-graded Kantor pair* P. If in addition (6) holds, we say that the BC_2-graded Lie algebra L *tightly envelops* P.

4.4. **The standard BC_2-grading of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$.** We now see that any SP-graded Kantor pair is enveloped by some BC_2-graded Lie algebra.

Proposition 4.4.1. *Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair. Then there exists a unique* BC_2*-grading of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$, which we call the* standard BC_2-grading of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$*, such that*

$$\mathfrak{K}(P)_{\sigma 1,\sigma i} = P_i^\sigma \tag{13}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ with this BC_2*-grading tightly envelops P.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}(P)$ and $T = T_{\mathfrak{K}}(P)$. Since $\mathfrak{K}$ is generated by T (by Proposition 3.3.1), uniqueness in the first statement is clear. For existence, define a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of the $\mathbb{F}$-module T by setting

$$T_{i,j} = \begin{cases} P^\sigma_{\sigma j} & \text{if } i = \sigma 1 \text{ with } \sigma = \pm, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then one checks directly using (5) that the trilinear product $[[x,y],z]$ on T is $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded. Also, since the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of T has finite support, it induces a natural $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{End}(T)$ under the commutator product. Further, since $\mathfrak{S}(P) = [T,T]$, we see that $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded subalgebra of this Lie algebra. Next, we give $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{S}(P) \oplus T$ the direct sum $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading. It then follows that $\mathfrak{K}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded Lie algebra. Next, since $\mathfrak{K} = [T,T] \oplus T$, we have

$$\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(\mathfrak{K}) \ \subseteq \ (\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(T) + \mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(T)) \cup \mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(T). \tag{14}$$

So, since $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(T) \subseteq \{\pm(1,0), \pm(1,1)\}$, we have $\mathrm{supp}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(\mathfrak{K}) \subseteq \Delta$. Thus $\mathfrak{K}$ is Δ-graded. Moreover, the union in (14) is disjoint, so we obtain (13). This proves the first statement, and the second follows from Proposition 3.3.1. □

Remark 4.4.2 (BC_2-graded versions)**.** Each of the results in Subsection 3.6 about 5-graded Lie algebras that envelop a Kantor pair have versions for BC_2-graded Lie algebras that envelop an SP-graded Kantor pair. Each of these is obtained from the 5-graded version in Subsection 3.6 by replacing the terms Kantor pair, isomorphism of a Kantor pair, 5-graded Lie algebra, 5-graded isomorphism and standard 5-grading by the terms SP-graded Kantor pair, SP-graded isomorphism, BC_2-graded Lie algebra, BC_2-graded isomorphism and standard BC_2-grading respectively. In each case, the BC_2-graded version is an easy consequence of the 5-graded version and the uniqueness in Proposition 4.4.1. We leave the details to the reader.

4.5. **Gradings from derivations.** The following simple lemma will be used later to construct examples of BC_2-gradings.

Lemma 4.5.1. *Suppose $\mathbb{F}$ is a field. Let D_1, D_2 be derivations of a Lie algebra L such that $L = \sum_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_{i_1,i_2}$, where*

$$L_{i_1,i_2} = \{x \in L : D_1 x = i_1 x,\ D_2 x = i_2 x\} \quad \text{if } -2 \le i_1, i_2 \le 2,$$

and $L_{i_1,i_2} = 0$ otherwise. Then $L = \bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_{i_1,i_2}$. Also, if

$$[L_{\sigma 2,*}, L_{\sigma 1,*} + L_{\sigma 2,*}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [L_{*,\sigma 2}, L_{*,\sigma 1} + L_{*,\sigma 2}] = 0 \tag{15}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$, then $L = \bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} L_{i_1,i_2}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of the algebra L.

Proof. The first statement is an elementary fact from linear algebra since the images of $-2, -1, 0, 1, 2$ in $\mathbb{F}$ are distinct (recall that char $\neq 2$ or 3). It remains to show. assuming (15), that we have

$$[L_{i_1,i_2}, L_{j_1,j_2}] \subseteq L_{i_1+j_1,i_2+j_2} \tag{16}$$

for $-2 \leq i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \leq 2$. If $-2 \leq i_1 + j_1, i_2 + j_2 \leq 2$, then (16) holds since D_1 and D_2 are derivations. Otherwise the left hand side of (16) is 0 by (15). □

We note that (15) holds automatically if $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 5$ since in that case the images of $\pm 3, \pm 4$ in $\mathbb{F}$ are not eigenvalues of D_1 or D_2.

5. Weyl images of SP-graded Kantor pairs

In this section (except in Subsection 5.5), we continue with the assumptions of Section 4. In particular, Δ is the irreducible root system of type BC_2 with base $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, where α_1 is the short basic root and α_2 is the long basic root. Let $s_\alpha \in W_\Delta$ be the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to α for $\alpha \in \Delta$, and put $s_i = s_{\alpha_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. The generators s_1 and s_2 of W_Δ satisfy $s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2 = s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 = -1$, and

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta) = W_\Delta = \{1,\ s_1,\ s_2,\ s_2 s_1,\ -1,\ -s_1,\ -s_2,\ -s_2 s_1\}$$

is the dihedral group of order 8. In particular, since $\mathrm{Aut}(\Delta) = W_\Delta$, all images of a BC_2-graded Lie algebra are Weyl images. (See Subsection 1.3.)

5.1. **Weyl images.**

Definition 5.1.1. Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair and $u \in W_\Delta$. Choose a BC_2-graded Lie algebra L that envelops P. Then ${}^uL$ is a BC_2-graded Lie algebra, which therefore envelops an SP-graded Kantor pair ${}^uP$ (see Subsection 4.3). We call ${}^uP$ the *u-image* (or a *Weyl image*) of P.

In parts (i)–(iii) of the next proposition, we give an internal characterization of the Weyl image ${}^uP$. It follows in part (iv) that ${}^uP$ is well-defined.

Proposition 5.1.2. *Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair, $u \in W_\Delta$, L is a BC_2-graded Lie algebra that envelops P, and ${}^uP$ is defined as above. Then*

(i) $T_{{}^uL}({}^uP) = T_L(P)$.

(ii) $u^{-1}(\alpha_1) = \pi(\alpha_1 + a\alpha_2)$ *and* $u^{-1}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = \rho(\alpha_1 + b\alpha_2)$ *for some* $\pi = \pm$, $\rho = \pm$, $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$, *in which case*

$$({}^uP)_0^\sigma = P_a^{\pi\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad ({}^uP)_1^\sigma = P_b^{\rho\sigma}.$$

(iii) *If $\sigma = \pm$ and $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$, the σ-product on ${}^uP$ restricted to $({}^uP)_i^\sigma \times ({}^uP)_j^{-\sigma} \times ({}^uP)_k^\sigma$ is given by $[[x, y], z]$ in L, which can be expressed in terms of products in P using* (ii) *and* (5).

(iv) *${}^uP$ does not depend on the choice of L. (This justifies our notation ${}^uP$.)*

(v) *$\mathfrak{K}({}^uP)$ with its standard BC_2-grading is graded isomorphic to the u-image ${}^u\mathfrak{K}(P)$ of $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ with its standard BC_2-grading. So $\mathfrak{K}({}^uP)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ (as algebras).*

Proof. First $T_L(P) = \sum_{\alpha \in S^- \cup S^+} L_\alpha$, where $S^\sigma = \{\sigma\alpha_1, \sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\}$, and similarly $T_{{}^uL}({}^uP) = \sum_{\alpha \in S^- \cup S^+} ({}^uL)_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in u^{-1}(S^- \cup S^+)} L_\alpha$. But $S^- \cup S^+$ is the set of short roots of Δ and hence this set is stabilized by W. So we have (i) and the expressions for $u^{-1}(\alpha_1)$ and $u^{-1}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$ in (ii). Further $({}^uP)_0^\sigma = ({}^uL)_{\sigma 1,0} = ({}^uL)_{\sigma\alpha_1} =$

$L_{u^{-1}(\sigma\alpha_1)} = L_{\pi\sigma(\alpha_1+a\alpha_2)} = L_{\pi\sigma 1,\pi\sigma a} = P_a^{\pi\sigma}$, and similarly $({}^uP)_1^\sigma = P_b^{\rho\sigma}$, so we have (ii). Next (iii) follows from (ii); and (iv) follows from (ii) and (iii). Finally, by Proposition 4.4.1, $\mathfrak{K}(P)$ with its standard BC_2-grading tightly envelops P. So by (i), the BC_2-graded Lie algebra ${}^u\mathfrak{K}(P)$ tightly envelops ${}^uP$. Hence, by the BC_2-graded version of Corollary 3.6.2, we have (v). □

If P is an SP-graded Kantor pair, we see using Proposition 5.1.2(iv) and (3) that

$$ {}^1P = P \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{u_1}({}^{u_2}P) = {}^{u_1u_2}P \tag{17}$$

for $u_1, u_2 \in W_\Delta$. In other words, we have a left action of W_Δ on the class of SP-graded Kantor pairs.

Proposition 5.1.3. *Let P be an SP-graded Kantor pair and $u \in W_\Delta$. Then, P is simple if and only if ${}^uP$ is simple. Moreover, if $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, P is central simple if and only if ${}^uP$ is central simple; and P is central simple (resp. split central simple) of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ if and only if ${}^uP$ is central simple (resp. split central simple) of type $\mathrm{X_n}$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 5.1.2(v) and Propositions 3.5.1 and 3.5.3. □

If P is an SP-graded Kantor pair, two of the Weyl images of P (besides ${}^1P$) are already familiar to us. Indeed, using the notation of Remark 4.1.3, we have

$$ {}^{-1}P = P^{\mathrm{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{s_2}P = \bar{P}. \tag{18}$$

The first of these follows immediately from (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 5.1.2, as does the second, since s_2 exchanges α_1 and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$.

5.2. **Reflection.** If P is an SP-graded Kantor pair, the Weyl image ${}^{s_1}P$ of P is of particular interest in the theory. For convenience we introduce the following notation:

$$ \breve{P} := {}^{s_1}P. \tag{19}$$

Since s_1 is the reflection in W_Δ corresponding to the short basic root α_1, we call $\breve{P}$ the *reflection of P corresponding to the short basic root*, or more simply the *reflection* of P. We have the following explicit description of $\breve{P}$:

Proposition 5.2.1. *Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair. Then $\breve{P}_0 = (P_0)^{op}$ and $\breve{P}_1 = P_1$ as Kantor pairs; and the σ-product $\{\ ,\ ,\ \}^\smile$ on $\breve{P}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\{a_0^{-\sigma}+a_1^\sigma, b_0^\sigma+b_1^{-\sigma}, c_0^{-\sigma}+c_1^\sigma\}^\smile &= \{a_0^{-\sigma}, b_0^\sigma, c_0^{-\sigma}\} - \{b_1^{-\sigma}, a_1^\sigma, c_0^{-\sigma}\} + K(a_0^{-\sigma}, b_1^{-\sigma})c_1^\sigma \\ &\quad + \{a_1^\sigma, b_1^{-\sigma}, c_1^\sigma\} - \{b_0^\sigma, a_0^{-\sigma}, c_1^\sigma\} + K(a_1^\sigma, b_0^\sigma)c_0^{-\sigma},\end{aligned}$$

where $a_i^\tau, b_i^\tau, c_i^\tau \in P_i^\tau$ in each case.

Proof. Since $s_1(\alpha_1) = -\alpha_1$ and $s_1(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) = \alpha_1+\alpha_2$, the conclusions follow easily using parts (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 5.1.2 and (5). □

Corollary 5.2.2. *Suppose P is an SP-graded pair. If the SP-grading on P is the one SP-grading, then $\breve{P} = P$ as SP-graded Kantor pairs. On the other hand, if the SP-grading on P is the zero SP-grading, then $\breve{P} = P^{op}$ as SP-graded Kantor pairs.*

Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair. By (17), (18) and (19), the eight elements $1,\ s_1, s_2, s_2s_1, -1, -s_1, -s_2, -s_2s_1$ of W_Δ yield in order the following eight SP-graded Weyl images of P:

$$P,\ \ \breve{P},\ \ \bar{P},\ \ \bar{\breve{P}},\ P^{\mathrm{op}},\ \ (\breve{P})^{\mathrm{op}},\ \ (\bar{P})^{\mathrm{op}},\ \ (\bar{\breve{P}})^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Since shifting does not change the underlying ungraded Kantor pair, our list includes in general four non-isomorphic Kantor pairs:

$$P, \quad \breve{P}, \quad P^{\mathrm{op}}, \quad (\breve{P})^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

This suggests the central role that reflection plays in our study of Weyl images.

5.3. **Kantor pairs from Jordan pairs.** Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair. If P is Jordan, then clearly P^{op} and $\bar{P}$ are Jordan. We now see that this fails for the reflection $\breve{P}$ of P.

Lemma 5.3.1. *Suppose that P is an SP-graded Kantor pair. Then $\breve{P}$ is a Jordan pair if and only if P_0 and P_1 are left ideals of P that are Jordan pairs. Suppose further that P is Jordan. Then $\breve{P}$ is Jordan if and only if P_0 and P_1 are ideals of P, in which case $P = P_0 \oplus P_1$ and $\breve{P} = (P_0)^{op} \oplus P_1$ are direct sums of ideals.*

Proof. Let L be a BC_2-graded Lie algebra that envelops P, in which case ${}^{s_1}L$ is a BC_2-graded Lie algebra that envelops $\breve{P}$. We use $\breve{K}$ to denote K-operators for $\breve{P}$ (see Subsection 2.1). Then, working in L (which equals ${}^{s_1}L$ as a Lie algebra), we have, using (5) applied to $\breve{P}$ and then P:

$$\begin{aligned}\breve{K}(\breve{P}^{\sigma},\breve{P}^{\sigma})\breve{P}^{-\sigma} &= [[\breve{P}^{\sigma},\breve{P}^{\sigma}],\breve{P}^{-\sigma}] = [[P_0^{-\sigma}+P_1^{\sigma},P_0^{-\sigma}+P_1^{\sigma}],P_0^{\sigma}+P_1^{-\sigma}]\\ &= K(P_0^{-\sigma},P_0^{-\sigma})P_0^{\sigma}+\{P_1^{\sigma},P_0^{-\sigma},P_0^{\sigma}\}+\{P_0^{-\sigma},P_1^{\sigma},P_1^{-\sigma}\}+K(P_1^{\sigma},P_1^{\sigma})P_1^{-\sigma}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\breve{P}$ is Jordan if and only if P_0 and P_1 are Jordan and $\{P_{1-i}^{\sigma},P_i^{-\sigma},P_i^{\sigma}\}=0$ for $\sigma=\pm$, $i=0,1$. But one checks easily using (11) that this last condition holds if and only if P_i is a left ideal of P for $i=0,1$.

Suppose next that P is Jordan. If $\breve{P}$ is Jordan, then we know that P_i is a left and right ideal of P for $i=0,1$; and hence, by (11), P_i is an ideal for $i=0,1$. Conversely, suppose that each P_i is an ideal of P. Then $P=P_0\oplus P_1$ is a direct sum of ideals; so by Proposition 5.2.1, $\breve{P}=(P_0)^{\mathrm{op}}\oplus P_1$ is a direct sum of ideals and hence $\breve{P}$ is Jordan. □

Corollary 5.3.2. *Suppose that P is a simple Jordan pair with non-trivial SP-grading. Then $\breve{P}$ is not Jordan.*

There are many well-understood examples of simple Jordan pairs with non-trivial SP-gradings. In this way reflection produces many examples of SP-graded Kantor pairs that are not Jordan. We consider one such example in Section 6.

5.4. **Balanced dimension and 2-dimension of Weyl images.** Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field and P is a Kantor pair over $\mathbb{F}$. We now look at the balanced dimension and the balanced 2-dimension of the Weyl images of P. Since $\{s_1,s_2\}$ generates W it is enough to do this for shift and reflection.

First, if P has balanced dimension (resp. balanced 2-dimension) d, then clearly $\bar{P}$ has balanced dimension (resp. balanced 2-dimension) d, since $\mathfrak{K}(P)=\mathfrak{K}(\bar{P})$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie algebras.

Second, if the balanced dimensions of P and $\breve{P}$ are defined, then these dimensions are easily seen to be equal. However, this is not true for balanced 2-dimension as we have already seen in Corollary 5.3.2. We now prove a more precise statement about this in an important special case (see Corollary 3.7.3).

Proposition 5.4.1. *Suppose that P is a central simple SP-graded Kantor pair of type $\mathrm{X_n}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfying* (9). *Let d (resp. $\breve{d}$) denote the balanced 2-dimension of P (resp. $\breve{P}$). Then $\breve{d} = d + \dim(L_{\alpha_2}) - \dim(L_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2})$, where L is any central simple BC_2-graded Lie algebra that envelops P.*

Proof. By the BC_2-graded version of Theorem 3.6.5(ii), we can assume that $L = \mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{K}(P)$ with its standard BC_2-grading. Let $d_{i,j} = \dim(\mathfrak{K}_{i,j})$ for $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, in which case $d_{i,j} = d_{-i,-j}$ by Lemma 3.7.1. Also, let $\breve{\mathfrak{K}} = \mathfrak{K}(\breve{P})$ with its standard BC_2-grading, and let $\breve{d}_{i,j} = \dim(\breve{\mathfrak{K}}_{i,j})$. Since $\breve{\mathfrak{K}}$ is graded isomorphic to ${}^{s_1}\mathfrak{K}$ by Proposition 5.1.2(v) and since $s_1(i\alpha_1 + j\alpha_2) = (-i+2j)\alpha_1 + j\alpha_2$, we see that $\breve{d}_{i,j} = d_{-i+2j,j}$ for $(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Finally, $d = d_{2,0}+d_{2,1}+d_{2,2}$ and $\breve{d} = \breve{d}_{2,0}+\breve{d}_{2,1}+\breve{d}_{2,2} = d_{-2,0} + d_{0,1} + d_{2,2} = d_{2,0} + d_{0,1} + d_{2,2} = d + d_{0,1} - d_{2,1}$. □

Remark 5.4.2. Suppose we are in the setting of Proposition 5.4.1.

(i) We will describe an example in Subsection 7.5 where $d = 1$ and $\breve{d} = 5$. Hence, in the spirit of Corollary 5.3.2, reflection produces a Kantor pair that is far from Jordan starting from a pair that is close to Jordan.

(ii) If $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ and P has balanced 2-dimension 1, we will show in [AF2] that $\dim(L_{2\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) = 1$. So in that case, $\breve{d} = \dim(L_{\alpha_2})$.

5.5. **Remarks on Weyl images using other rank 2 root systems.** Up to this point, we have discussed how BC_2-graded Lie algebras arise in the theory of SP-graded Kantor pairs. With this in mind, it is natural to wonder how Lie algebras graded by other irreducible rank 2 root systems fit into this picture. We discuss this briefly in this subsection (with few details), and explain why we have focused on the BC_2-case.

Suppose that Δ an irreducible root system with base $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, and denote the type of Δ by X_2. Let $m_1\alpha_1 + m_2\alpha_2$ be the highest root of Δ and suppose that $m_1 = 1$ or 2; and let $\alpha_1 + n_2\alpha_2$ be the highest root in $(\alpha_1 + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2) \cap \Delta$. Then we have the following possible cases:

(1) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{A}_2, \mathrm{m}_1 = 1, \mathrm{n}_2 = 1$; (2) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{B}_2, \alpha_1$ long, $\mathrm{m}_1 = 1, \mathrm{n}_2 = 2$;

(3) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{B}_2, \alpha_1$ short, $\mathrm{m}_1 = 2, \mathrm{n}_2 = 1$; (4) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{G}_2, \alpha_1$ long, $\mathrm{m}_1 = 2, \mathrm{n}_2 = 3$;

(5) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{BC}_2, \alpha_1$ long, $\mathrm{m}_1 = 2, \mathrm{n}_2 = 2$; (6) $\mathrm{X}_2 = \mathrm{BC}_2, \alpha_1$ short, $\mathrm{m}_1 = 2, \mathrm{n}_2 = 1$.

Suppose that L is a Δ-graded Lie algebra. Then, since $m_1 \leq 2$, the first component grading $L = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} L_{i,*}$ of L is a 5-grading. Let $P = (L_{-1,*}, L_{1,*})$ be the Kantor pair enveloped by L with this 5-grading. Then $P = \oplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} P_j = \oplus_{j=0}^{n_2} P_j$, where $P_j^\sigma = L_{\sigma 1,\sigma j}$, is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Kantor pair which we say is *enveloped by the Δ-graded Lie algebra L*. Further in each case we have $[P_i^\sigma, P_j^{-\sigma}] = 0$ in L if $(i-j)\alpha_2 \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$ and $[P_i^\sigma, P_j^\sigma] = 0$ in L if $2\alpha_1 + (i+j)\alpha_2 \notin \Delta \cup \{0\}$; and these facts translate into relations in P which we call *Peirce* relations. For example the Peirce relations in Case 2 are the relations (12) in Example 4.1.2 as well as the relations $K(P_i^\sigma, P_j^\sigma) = 0$ for all i, j. In Case 6, the set of relations is empty.

If we are in Case ℓ, where $1 \leq \ell \leq 6$, we are led to introduce the class $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Kantor pairs that by definition have support contained in $\{0, \ldots, n_2\}$, and satisfy the Peirce relations mentioned above.

For example $\mathfrak{C}(1)$, $\mathfrak{C}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(3)$ are respectively the class of SP-graded Jordan pairs, the class of Peirce graded Jordan pairs (see Example 4.1.2), and the class of SP-graded Kantor pairs with P_0 and P_1 Jordan. We leave it to the interested

reader to work out $\mathfrak{C}(4)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(5)$. Of course $\mathfrak{C}(6)$ is the class of SP-graded Kantor pairs that we have been studying.

So in each case, any Δ-graded Lie algebra envelops a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Kantor pair in $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$; and conversely one sees exactly as in Proposition 4.4.1 that any $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Kantor pair in $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$ is enveloped by a Δ-graded Lie algebra.

If P is in $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$ and $u \in W_\Delta$, one can define the Weyl image ${}^uP$ in $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$ as in Definition 5.1.1. However, to see that ${}^uP$ it is well-defined as in Proposition 5.1.2, one needs the fact that $S^- \cup S^+$ is invariant under W_Δ, where $S^\sigma := \{\sigma\alpha_1 + \sigma j\alpha_2\}_{j=1}^{n_2}$. Since this is not true in general, it is natural to consider Weyl images ${}^uP$ only for u in the stabilizer V of $S^- \cup S^+$ in W_Δ.

Now because of our work in this article we understand Weyl images in $\mathfrak{C}(6)$; and we therefore also understand them in $\mathfrak{C}(3)$, since $\mathfrak{C}(3)$ is a subclass of $\mathfrak{C}(6)$ that is closed under Weyl images in $\mathfrak{C}(6)$. In the remaining four Cases 1, 2, 4 and 5, it turns out that we have $u(S^+) = S^+$ or S^- for $u \in V$ and hence ${}^uP \simeq P$ or P^{op} *as ungraded Kantor pairs* for $P \in \mathfrak{C}(\ell)$ and $u \in V$. So in those four cases, Weyl images cannot be used to produce new ungraded Kantor pairs. For this reason, we have only considered Case 6 in this paper.

Nevertheless, it seems that all of the classes $\mathfrak{C}(\ell)$, as well as analogous classes for higher rank root systems, are of interest in their own right and may play a role in the development of a theory of grids for Kantor pairs following the lead of Neher in the Jordan case (see [N2] and its references).

6. Kantor pairs of skew transformations

In this section, *let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field (of characteristic $\neq 2$ or 3), let V^- and V^+ be vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ and let $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$ be a nondegenerate bilinear map.* If $v^+ \in V^+$ and $v^- \in V^-$, we set $g(v^+, v^-) = g(v^-, v^+)$ for convenience.

6.1. **Reduction to the finite dimensional case.** The following simple lemma is useful in reducing questions about g to finite dimensional questions.

Lemma 6.1.1.

(i) *Suppose W^σ is a finite dimensional subspace of V^σ for $\sigma = \pm$ such that $g|_{W^- \times W^+}$ is nondegenerate. Then there exist bases $\{v_i^-\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{v_i^+\}_{i=1}^n$ for W^- and W^+ respectively such that $g(v_i^-, v_j^+) = \delta_{ij}$. (These bases are said to be* dual relative to g*.) Moreover $V^\sigma = W^\sigma \oplus (W^{-\sigma})^\perp$ for $\sigma = \pm$, where $(W^{-\sigma})^\perp = \{v^\sigma \in V^\sigma : g(v^\sigma, W^{-\sigma}) = 0\}$.*

(ii) *Suppose that X^σ is a finite subset of V^σ for $\sigma = \pm$. Then there exists a finite dimensional subspace W^σ of V^σ containing X^σ for $\sigma = \pm$ such that $g|_{W^- \times W^+}$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. (i) is a well-known fact from elementary linear algebra. (ii) is a special case of [LB, Prop. 3.18], or it can be checked, using (i), by induction on $\dim(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^-)) + \dim(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^+))$. □

6.2. **Lie algebras and Jordan pairs of skew transformations.** Let $V = V^- \oplus V^+$, and define a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\tilde{g} : V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\tilde{g}(v^- + v^+, w^- + w^+) = g(v^-, w^+) + g(v^+, w^-).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g}) = \{A \in \mathrm{End}(V) : \tilde{g}(Av, w) + \tilde{g}(v, Aw) = 0 \text{ for } v, w \in V\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{End}(V)$ called the *orthogonal Lie algebra of* $\tilde{g}$, or sometimes the *Lie algebra of skew transformations of* $\tilde{g}$.

For $v, w \in V$, define $\zeta(v,w) \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ by

$$\zeta(v,w)x = g(x,w)v - g(x,v)w,$$

in which case $\zeta(v,w) = -\zeta(w,v)$. Also $\zeta(v,w) \in \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ and

$$[A, \zeta(v,w)] = \zeta(Av,w) + \zeta(v,Aw) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g}). \tag{20}$$

So

$$\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \zeta(V,V) := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\zeta(v,w) : v,w \in V\}$$

is an ideal of $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$. It is well known (and easy to check) that $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ *is the Lie algebra of all finite rank homomorphisms in* $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$. It is also known that if $\dim(V^\sigma) \geq 3$, then $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is simple. (One way to see this is to reduce to the finite dimensional case using Lemma 6.1.1. In that case the simplicity of $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is well-known and easily checked.) Hence, since the construction of $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ commutes with base field extension, $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is central simple when $\dim(V^\sigma) \geq 3$.

To describe some gradings on the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ and $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$, we adopt some notation. For $\sigma, \tau = \pm$, set $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,\tau} = \{A \in \mathrm{End}(V) : AV^{-\tau} = 0, AV^\tau \subseteq V^\sigma\}$ and identify this space with $\mathrm{Hom}(V^\tau, V^\sigma)$ in the evident fashion. Then we have $\mathrm{End}(V) = \bigoplus_{\sigma,\tau=\pm} \mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,\tau}$ with $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\kappa,\lambda}\,\mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,\tau} \subseteq \delta_{\lambda,\sigma}\,\mathrm{End}(V)^{\kappa,\tau}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Skew}(g)^\sigma &= \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g}) \cap \mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,-\sigma} \\ &= \{A^\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(V^{-\sigma}, V^\sigma) : g(A^\sigma v^{-\sigma}, w^{-\sigma}) + g(v^{-\sigma}, A^\sigma w^{-\sigma}) = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$. Then $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})_i$ is a 3-graded Lie algebra with

$$\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1} = \mathrm{Skew}(g)^\sigma \text{ for } \sigma = \pm, \quad \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})_0 = \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g}) \cap \left(\mathrm{End}(V)^{-,-} \oplus \mathrm{End}(V)^{+,+}\right)$$

and $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})_i = 0$ otherwise.

Similarly let

$$\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\sigma = \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) \cap \mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,-\sigma} = \zeta(V^\sigma, V^\sigma)$$

for $\sigma = \pm$. Then $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_i$ is a 3-graded Lie algebra with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1} &= \mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\sigma \quad \text{for } \sigma = \pm, \\ \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_0 &= \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) \cap \left(\mathrm{End}(V)^{-,-} \oplus \mathrm{End}(V)^{+,+}\right) = \zeta(V^-, V^+)\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_i = 0$ otherwise.

Proposition 6.2.1. *The Jordan pair enveloped by the* 3*-graded Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ *is* $\mathrm{Skew}(g) = (\mathrm{Skew}(g)^-, \mathrm{Skew}(g)^+)$ *with products* $\{A^\sigma, B^{-\sigma}, C^\sigma\} = A^\sigma B^{-\sigma} C^\sigma + C^\sigma B^{-\sigma} A^\sigma$*; and the Jordan pair enveloped by the* 3*-graded Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ *is the ideal* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g) = (\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^-, \mathrm{FSkew}(g)^+)$ *of* $\mathrm{Skew}(g)$*. Finally, the Jordan pair* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ *is central simple if* $\dim(V^\sigma) \geq 2$*.*

Proof. The first statement is clear from the above discussion. If $\dim(V^\sigma) = 2$, $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ is one-dimensional with nontrivial products, so it is central simple. If $\dim(V^\sigma) \geq 3$, then, by Theorem 3.6.5(iii), $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ is central simple since $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is central simple. □

Remark 6.2.2. (i) The Jordan pairs Skew(g) and FSkew(g) are special cases of Jordan pairs studied in [LB] and [Z1]. The last statement in the proposition is a special case of [LB, Thm. 3.9 and Prop. 4.1(3)] or [Z1, Lemma 5(2)].

(ii) Jordan pairs Skew(g) and FSkew(g) can be defined just as above without assuming that $\mathbb{F}$ is a field or that g is nondegenerate. However, in order to obtain a central simple Jordan pair FSkew(g), the additional assumptions are easily seen to be necessary, and so we have made them from the outset.

6.3. **The Kantor pair** FSkew(g)$^\vee$**.** *We now assume that* $e = (e^-, e^+) \in V^- \times V^+$ *is a pair of vectors satisfying* $g(e^-, e^+) = 1$.

Let $U^\sigma = (e^{-\sigma})^\perp$ in V^σ. Then, by Lemma 6.1.1(i),

$$V^\sigma = \mathbb{F}e^\sigma \oplus U^\sigma,$$

and we let $\pi^\sigma \in \mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$ be the projection onto the first factor in this sum. Define h_V and t_V in End(V) by

$$h_V(v^\sigma) = \sigma\frac{1}{2}v^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad t_V(v^\sigma) = \sigma\pi^\sigma(v^\sigma)$$

for $v^\sigma \in V^\sigma$. One sees directly that $h_V \in \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ and $t_V = \zeta(e^{-\sigma}, e^\sigma) \in \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$.

Proposition 6.3.1. *For* $(i_1, i_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, *let*

$$\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2} = \{x \in \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) : [h_V, x] = i_1 x, [t_V, x] = i_2 x\} \quad \text{if } -2 \le i_1, i_2 \le 2$$

and $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2} = 0$ *otherwise. Then* $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2}$ *is a* BC_2*-grading of the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$. *Moreover,*

$$\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{0,0} = \zeta(U^-, U^+) + \mathbb{F}t_V, \quad \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{0,\sigma 1} = \zeta(e^\sigma, U^{-\sigma}),$$
$$\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1,0} = \zeta(U^\sigma, U^\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1,\sigma 1} = \zeta(e^\sigma, U^\sigma)$$

for $\sigma = \pm$; *while* $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2} = 0$ *for all other pairs* (i_1, i_2) *in* $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof. For convenience, let $V_1^\sigma = \mathbb{F}e^\sigma$ and $V_0^\sigma = U^\sigma$ for $\sigma = \pm$. Then, $V^\sigma = V_1^\sigma \oplus V_0^\sigma$ with $h_V(v_i^\sigma) = \frac{\sigma 1}{2}v_i^\sigma$ and $t_V(v_i^\sigma) = \sigma i v_i^\sigma$ for $v_i^\sigma \in V_i^\sigma$, $i = 0, 1$, $\sigma = \pm$. Hence, using (20), we have

$$[h_V, \zeta(v_i^\sigma, w_j^\tau)] = \tfrac{1}{2}(\sigma 1 + \tau 1)\zeta(v_i^\sigma, w_j^\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad [t_V, \zeta(v_i^\sigma, w_j^\tau)] = (\sigma i + \tau j)\zeta(v_i^\sigma, w_j^\tau)$$

for $v_i^\sigma \in V_i^\sigma$, $w_j^\tau \in V_j^\tau$. Using this one sees immediately that

$$\begin{gathered}\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{0,0} \supseteq \zeta(V_0^-, V_0^+) + \zeta(V_1^-, V_1^+), \quad \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{0,\sigma 1} \supseteq \zeta(V_1^\sigma, V_0^{-\sigma}),\\ \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1,0} \supseteq \zeta(V_0^\sigma, V_0^\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 1,\sigma 1} \supseteq \zeta(V_1^\sigma, V_0^\sigma)\end{gathered} \tag{22}$$

for $\sigma = \pm$. Now since $\zeta(V_1^\sigma, V_1^\sigma) = \mathbb{F}\zeta(e^\sigma, e^\sigma) = 0$, the right hand sides of (22) sum to $\zeta(V, V) = \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$, and therefore so do the left hand sides. So by Lemma 4.5.1 we have $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2}$ and $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2} = 0$ for $(i_1, i_2) \notin \{(0,0), \pm(0,1), \pm(1,0), \pm(1,1)\}$. Hence, the inclusions in (22) are in each case equalities. Further, since $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{\sigma 2,*} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{*,\sigma 2} = 0$ for $\sigma = \pm$, Lemma 4.5.1 also tells us that $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{i_1,i_2}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading of the algebra $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$. Finally, looking at the support of this grading, we see that it is a BC_2-grading (in fact even an A_2-grading). □

Since the first component grading of the BC_2-grading in Proposition 6.3.1 is the 3-grading in (21), we obtain the following:

Corollary 6.3.2. *The SP-graded Jordan pair enveloped by the* BC_2*-graded Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ *in Proposition 6.3.1 is the Kantor pair* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ *described in Proposition 6.2.1 with SP-grading* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g) = \mathrm{FSkew}(g)_0 \oplus \mathrm{FSkew}(g)_1$*, where*

$$\mathrm{FSkew}(g)_0^\sigma = \zeta(U^\sigma, U^\sigma) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{FSkew}(g)_1^\sigma = \zeta(e^\sigma, U^\sigma). \tag{23}$$

Remark 6.3.3. In the same way (using $\mathrm{ad}(h_V)$ and $\mathrm{ad}(t_V)$) one obtains a BC_2-grading of $\mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ and hence an SP-grading of $\mathrm{Skew}(g)$ with $\mathrm{Skew}(g)_0^\sigma = \{A^\sigma \in \mathrm{Skew}(g)^\sigma : A^\sigma e^{-\sigma} = 0\}$ and $\mathrm{Skew}(g)_1^\sigma = \{A^\sigma \in \mathrm{Skew}(g)^\sigma : A^\sigma U^{-\sigma} \subseteq \mathbb{F}e^\sigma\}$. We leave the details of this to the interested reader.

The next result, which is the main result of this section, describes the Kantor pair $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$. As g varies, these Kantor pairs make up one of the four classes of central simple Kantor pairs that appear in the structure theorem in [AS].

Theorem 6.3.4. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{F}$ *is a field,* $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$ *is nondegenerate bilinear map,* $\dim(V^-) \geq 2$ *and* $e = (e^-, e^+) \in V^- \times V^+$ *satisfies* $g(e^-, e^+) = 1$*. Let* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ *be the SP-graded Jordan pair described in Corollary 6.3.2. Then* $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ *is a central simple SP-graded Kantor pair. Moreover, if* $\dim(V^-) \geq 3$*, this pair is not Jordan.*

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of Propositions 5.1.3 and 6.2.1. Finally, if $\dim(V^-) \geq 3$, it is easy to check that $\zeta(e^\sigma, U^\sigma)$ and $\zeta(U^\sigma, U^\sigma)$ are not zero, so $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ is not Jordan by Corollary 5.3.2. □

Remark 6.3.5. If $f = (f^-, f^+) \in V^- \times V^+$ is another pair of vectors satisfying $g(f^-, f^+) = 1$, then it is easy to see using Lemma 6.1.1 that there exists an *isometry* $(\varphi^-, \varphi^+) \in \mathrm{GL}(V^-) \times \mathrm{GL}(V^+)$ of g (satisfying $g(\varphi^-(v^-), \varphi^+(v^+)) = g(v^-, v^+)$) such that $\varphi^\sigma(e^\sigma) = f^\sigma$ for $\sigma = \pm$. Using this fact it is also easy to see that *the graded Lie algebras and Kantor pairs described above in Subsections 6.2 and 6.3 are independent up to graded isomorphism of the choice of* e*.*

Example 6.3.6 (The finite dimensional case)**.** Suppose that V^σ has finite dimension n, where $n \geq 3$. Then $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g}) = \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{g})$ and $\mathrm{FSkew}(g) = \mathrm{Skew}(g)$. Choose dual basis $\{v_i^-\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{v_i^+\}_{i=1}^n$ for V^- and V^+ relative to g with $v_1^- = e^-$ and $v_1^+ = e^+$, and use these bases to identify $\mathrm{End}(V)^{\sigma,\tau}$ with $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ for $\sigma, \tau = \pm$. Then one sees directly using Proposition 6.2.1 and Corollary 6.3.2 that $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ is the double of the Jordan triple system $\mathrm{A}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of alternating $n \times n$-matrices with product $ABC + CBA$, and that

$$\mathrm{FSkew}(g)_0^\sigma = \sum_{i,j=2}^n \mathbb{F}(E_{ij} - E_{ji}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{FSkew}(g)_1^\sigma = \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbb{F}(E_{i1} - E_{1i}).$$

(Here E_{ij} is the (i,j)-matrix unit.) Also, $\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})$ is the split central simple Lie algebra of type $\mathrm{D_n}$ (interpreting $\mathrm{D_3}$ as $\mathrm{A_3}$) [Se, §IV.3]. So $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ and $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ are split central simple Kantor pairs of type $\mathrm{D_n}$ by Proposition 5.1.3. Note that $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ (being Jordan) has balanced 2-dimension 0; whereas, by Proposition 5.4.1, $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ has balanced 2-dimension $\breve{d} = \dim(\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{0,1}) - \dim(\mathfrak{fo}(\tilde{g})_{2,1}) = \dim(\zeta(e^+, U^-)) - 0 = n - 1$.

If n is odd in Example 6.3.6, the SP-grading of $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)$ arises as in Example 4.1.2 from an idempotent c with trivial Peirce 0-component [L, §8.16]. For arbitrary (finite) n, the Kantor pair $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^\smile$ is the double of a Kantor triple system

that appears in Kantor's classification (mentioned in the introduction), where it is represented by the diagram $\mathrm{C_{n-1,n-1}}$—— $\mathrm{A_{n-1,1}}$ [K1, (5.27)]. In fact this diagram displays the SP-grading on $\mathrm{FSkew}(g)^{\smile}$.

7. Construction of $\mathrm{E_6}$ and Kantor pairs from the exterior algebra

In this section, *let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field (of characteristic $\neq 2$ or 3), let V^- and V^+ be finite dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension n, and let $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$ be a nondegenerate bilinear map.* (Starting in Subsection 7.4 we will take $n = 6$.) Set $g(v^+, v^-) = g(v^-, v^+)$ for $v^\sigma \in V^\sigma$. If $A^\sigma \in \mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$, then $(A^\sigma)^*$ will denote the *adjoint* of A^σ in $\mathrm{End}(V^{-\sigma})$ relative to g; so $g(v^\sigma, (A^\sigma)^* v^{-\sigma}) = g(A^\sigma v^\sigma, v^{-\sigma})$ for $v^\sigma \in V^\sigma$, $v^{-\sigma} \in V^{-\sigma}$.

For $\sigma = \pm$, we form the exterior algebra $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ with the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$\bigwedge(V^\sigma) = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \bigwedge_k(V^\sigma),$$

where $\bigwedge_k(V^\sigma) = 0$ if $k < 0$. For convenience, we write the product in $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ as uv (rather than the usual $u \wedge v$).

In the first three subsections, we record the facts about $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ that we will need for our constructions. For more details, the reader can consult [B1, III, §'s 7, 10 and 11] or [F, §2]. We note that in those references the authors work with one finite dimensional vector space and its dual. We can apply their results to our setting by identifying $V^{-\sigma}$ with the dual space of V^σ using g.

7.1. **The $\cdot$ action of $\bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$ on $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$.** Recall that an endomorphism D of the graded algebra $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ is called an *anti-derivation of degree* -1 of $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ if $D(\bigwedge_k(V^\sigma)) \subseteq \bigwedge_{k-1}(V^\sigma)$ and

$$D(x^\sigma y^\sigma) = D(x^\sigma)y^\sigma + (-1)^k x^\sigma D(y^\sigma)$$

for $k \geq 0$, $x^\sigma \in \bigwedge_k(V^\sigma)$ and $y^\sigma \in \bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ [B1, III, §10.3].

For $v^{-\sigma} \in V^{-\sigma}$, there is a unique anti-derivation $\Delta_{v^{-\sigma}}$ of $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ of degree -1 with

$$\Delta_{v^{-\sigma}}(v^\sigma) = g(v^{-\sigma}, v^\sigma)$$

for $v^\gamma \in V^\gamma$ [B1, III, §10.9, Ex. 2]. Since $\Delta^2_{v^{-\sigma}} = 0$, the map $v^{-\sigma} \to \Delta_{v^{-\sigma}}$ extends to a homomorphism $a \to \Delta_a$ of $\bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$ into the associative algebra $\mathrm{End}(\bigwedge(V^\sigma))$, and hence we can view $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ as a left module for the associative algebra $\bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$. We write the action as

$$a \cdot x = \Delta_a(x)$$

for $a \in \bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$, $x \in \bigwedge(V^\sigma)$.

Remark 7.1.1. If we identify $V^{-\sigma}$ with the dual space of V^σ via the pairing g, then it follows from [B1, II, §11.9, (68)] and [B1, III §11.8, Prop. 10] that $a \cdot x$ is the *left inner product* of x by a that is studied in [B1, III, §11.9].

Note that $\bigwedge_k(V^{-\sigma}) \cdot \bigwedge_\ell(V^\sigma) \subseteq \bigwedge_{\ell-k}(V^\sigma)$. In particular if $a \in \bigwedge_k(V^{-\sigma})$ and $x \in \bigwedge_k(V^\sigma)$, then $a \cdot x$ is a scalar in $\mathbb{F}$ and, by [F, Lemma 3(i)], we have

$$x \cdot a = a \cdot x.$$

Of course, if $v^\sigma \in V^\sigma$ and $v^{-\sigma} \in V^{-\sigma}$, then $v^\sigma \cdot v^{-\sigma} = g(v^\sigma, v^{-\sigma})$.

Finally, if $p \in \bigwedge_n(V^\sigma)$, $q \in \bigwedge_n(V^{-\sigma})$ (recall that $n = \dim(V^\sigma)$) and $a \in \bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$, then

$$(a \cdot p) \cdot q = (p \cdot q)a, \tag{24}$$

by [B1, III, §11.11, Prop. 12(i)] or [F, Lemma 4(i)].

7.2. **The Lie algebra $\mathcal{S}(g)$ and its $\circ$ action in $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$.** We consider the following Lie subalgebra

$$\mathcal{S}(g) = \{(A^-, A^+) \in \mathrm{End}(V^-) \oplus \mathrm{End}(V^+) : g(A^- v^-, v^+) + g(v^-, A^+ v^+) = 0\}.$$

of $\mathrm{End}(V^-) \oplus \mathrm{End}(V^+)$. Clearly, $(A^-, A^+) \to A^\sigma$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(g)$ onto $\mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$ and

$$\mathcal{S}(g) = \{(-A^{+*}, A^+) : A^+ \in \mathrm{End}(V^+)\}.$$

If $A^\sigma \in \mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$, there is a unique extension of A^σ to a derivation D_{A^σ} of $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$, and it is easy to see that $[D_{A^\sigma}, D_{B^\sigma}] = D_{[A^\sigma, B^\sigma]}$ [B1, III, §10.9, Example 1]. So $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ is a module for the Lie algebra $\mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$ with

$$A^\sigma \circ x^\sigma = D_{A^\sigma}(x^\sigma)$$

for $x^\sigma \in \bigwedge(V^\sigma)$. We also view $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ as a module for $\mathcal{S}(g)$ with

$$(A^-, A^+) \circ x^\sigma = A^\sigma \circ x^\sigma.$$

The $\cdot$ action and the $\circ$ action are related by the identity

$$A \circ (a \cdot x) = (A \circ a) \cdot x + a \cdot (A \circ x) \tag{25}$$

which, by [F, Eq. (2)], holds for $A \in \mathcal{S}(g)$, $a \in \bigwedge(V^{-\sigma})$, $x \in \bigwedge(V^\sigma)$, $\sigma = \pm$.

Note finally that if $p^\sigma \in \bigwedge_n(V^\sigma)$, we have by [B1, III, §10.9, Prop. 15] that

$$A^\sigma \circ p^\sigma = \mathrm{tr}(A^\sigma) p^\sigma. \tag{26}$$

7.3. **The elements $B(x^\sigma, x^{-\sigma})$ in $\mathcal{S}(g)$.** For $\sigma = \pm$, $x \in \bigwedge_k(V^\sigma)$, $a \in \bigwedge_k(V^{-\sigma})$ and $1 \le k \le n$, we define $B^\sigma(x, a) \in \mathrm{End}(V^\sigma)$ by

$$B^\sigma(x, a)(v^\sigma) = (v^\sigma \cdot a) \cdot x.$$

In that case we have

$$B^\sigma(x, a)^* = B^{-\sigma}(a, x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{tr}(B^\sigma(x, a)) = k\, x \cdot a, \tag{27}$$

by parts (ii) and (iv) of [F, Lemma 3] (where $B^\sigma(x, a)$ is denoted by $e(x, a)$).

If $x^- \in \bigwedge_k(V^-)$, $x^+ \in \bigwedge_k(V^+)$ and $1 \le k \le n$, we define

$$B(x^-, x^+) = (B^-(x^-, x^+), -B^+(x^+, x^-)) \quad \text{and} \quad B(x^-, x^+) = -B(x^-, x^+).$$

Then by the first equation in (27), $B(x^\sigma, x^{-\sigma}) \in \mathcal{S}(g)$ for $\sigma = \pm$. Observe also that

$$B(x^\sigma, x^{-\sigma}) \circ z^\sigma = B^\sigma(x^\sigma, x^{-\sigma}) \circ z^\sigma \tag{28}$$

for $z^\sigma \in \bigwedge(V^\sigma)$.

7.4. **The graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}$ and the Kantor pair $\bigwedge_3$.** *From now on we suppose that* $\dim(V^\sigma) = 6$, $\sigma = \pm$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(g) := \bigwedge_6(V^-) \oplus \bigwedge_3(V^-) \oplus \mathcal{S}(g) \oplus \bigwedge_3(V^+) \oplus \bigwedge_6(V^+)$$

have the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading with $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{S}(g)$, $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma k} = \bigwedge_{3k}(V^\sigma)$ for $k = 1, 2$, and $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma k} = 0$ for $k > 2$. Set

$$h_V := \frac{1}{3}(-\mathrm{id}_{V^-}, \mathrm{id}_{V^+}) \in \mathcal{S}(g) = \mathcal{E}_0.$$

Define a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded skew-symmetric product on $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$[A,B] = AB - BA, \qquad [A,p_i] = A \circ p_i \ \text{ for } i = \pm 1, \pm 2,$$
$$[p_{-1},q_1] = B(p_{-1},q_1) + (p_{-1}\cdot q_1)h_V, \qquad [p_{-2},q_2] = -(p_{-2}\cdot q_2)h_V,$$
$$[p_i,q_i] = p_i q_i \ \text{ for } i = \pm 1, \qquad [p_i,q_{-2i}] = p_i \cdot q_{-2i} \ \text{ for } i = \pm 1,$$

where $A, B \in \mathcal{S}(g)$, $p_j, q_j \in \mathcal{E}_j$.

Remark 7.4.1. Observe that $\mathrm{ad}(h_V)$ is the *grading derivation* for $\mathcal{E}$; that is

$$[h_V, p_i] = ip_i \quad \text{for } p_i \in \mathcal{E}_i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{29}$$

Also, if $x^\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$ and $y^{-\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 1}$, then

$$[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}] = B(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}) - \sigma(x^\sigma \cdot y^{-\sigma})h_V;$$

so, if $z^\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma i}$ with $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$[[x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}], z^\sigma] = B^\sigma(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}) \circ z^\sigma - i(x^\sigma \cdot y^{-\sigma})z^\sigma \tag{30}$$

by (28) and (29). Finally, if $p^\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\sigma 2}$ and $q^{-\sigma} \in \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 2}$, then

$$[p^\sigma, q^{-\sigma}] = \sigma(p^\sigma \cdot q^{-\sigma})h_V. \tag{31}$$

Theorem 7.4.2. *Suppose $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, $g : V^- \times V^+ \to \mathbb{F}$ is a non-degenerate bilinear map and $\dim(V^\sigma) = 6$ for $\sigma = \pm$; and define $\mathcal{E}$ as above. Then, $\mathcal{E}$ is the split central simple Lie algebra of type* E_6 *(see Subsection 3.7).*

Proof. To show that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Lie algebra, it suffices to check the Jacobi identity

$$J(z_1, z_2, z_3) := [[z_1, z_2], z_3] + [[z_2, z_3], z_1] + [[z_3, z_1], z_2] = 0$$

for homogeneous $z_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{d_1}, z_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{d_2}, z_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{d_3}$, where $|d_i| \le 2$. Moreover, since the product is skew-symmetric, $J(z_1, z_2, z_3) = 0$ implies $J(z_{\pi 1}, z_{\pi 2}, z_{\pi 3}) = 0$ for any $\pi \in S_3$. Also, since $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma k} = 0$ for $k > 2$, we can assume $|d_1 + d_2 + d_3| \le 2$. With these observations, we are reduced to considering the following cases for (d_1, d_2, d_3):

$$(0,0,0),\ (0,0,\sigma 1),\ (0,0,\sigma 2),\ (0,\sigma 1,\sigma 1),\ (0,2,-2),\ (0,\sigma 1,-\sigma 2),\ (0,-1,1),$$
$$(2,-2,\sigma 2),\ (\sigma 1,\sigma 1,-\sigma 2), (\sigma 1,\sigma 1,-\sigma 1),\ (\sigma 1,\sigma 2,-\sigma 2),\ (\sigma 1,\sigma 2,-\sigma 1),$$

where in each case $\sigma = \pm$.

Now the case $(0,0,0)$ holds since $\mathcal{S}(g)$ is a Lie algebra; the cases $(0,0,\sigma 1)$, $(0,0,\sigma 2)$ hold since $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ is an $\mathcal{S}(g)$-module under the $\circ$ action; the case $(0,\sigma 1,\sigma 1)$ holds since $S(V)$ acts by derivations on $\bigwedge(V^\sigma)$ under $\circ$; and the cases $(0,2,-2)$ and $(0,\sigma 1,-\sigma 2)$ follow from (25). This leaves the following cases:

Case $(0,-1,1)$: For $A \in \mathcal{S}(g)$, $x^- \in \bigwedge_3(V^-)$ and $x^+ \in \bigwedge_3(V^+)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(A,x^-,x^+) &= [[A,x^-],x^+] - [A,[x^-,x^+]] + [x^-,[A,x^+]] \\ &= B(A\circ x^-,x^+) + ((A\circ x^-)\cdot x^+)h_V - [A, B(x^-,x^+) + (x^-\cdot x^+)h_V] \\ &\qquad + B(x^-, A\circ x^+) + (x^-\cdot(A\circ x^+))h_V \\ &= B(A\circ x^-,x^+) + B(x^-,A\circ x^+) - [A,B(x^-,x^+)], \end{aligned}$$

since, by (25), $(A\circ x^-)\cdot x^+ + x^-\cdot(A\circ x^+) = A\circ(x^-\cdot x^+) = 0$. So, for $v \in V^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(A,x^-,x^+)\circ v = (v\cdot x^+)\cdot(Ax^-) + (v\cdot(A\circ x^+))\cdot x^- \\ - A\circ((v\cdot x^+)\cdot x^-) + ((A\circ v)\cdot x^+)\cdot x^- \end{aligned}$$

This is 0 by (25), so $J(A,x^-,x^+) = 0$.

Case $(2,-2,\sigma 2)$: In this case, the elements z_1, z_2, z_3 are linearly dependent, so $J(z_1,z_2,z_3)=0$.

Case $(\sigma 1,\sigma 1,-\sigma 2)$: For $x\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$, $y\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$ and $q\in\mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 2}$, we have, using (31),

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,q) &= [[x,y],q]+[[y,q],x]-[[x,q],y] \\ &= \sigma((xy)\cdot q)h_V + B(y\cdot q,x)+\sigma(x\cdot(y\cdot q))h_V \\ &\qquad - B(x\cdot q,y)-\sigma(y\cdot(x\cdot q))h_V \\ &= \sigma 3((xy)\cdot q)h_V + B(y\cdot q,x)-B(x\cdot q,y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $v\in V^{-\sigma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,q)\circ v &= -((xy)\cdot q)v+(v\cdot x)\cdot(y\cdot q)-(v\cdot y)\cdot(x\cdot q) \\ &= -((xy)\cdot q)v+((v\cdot x)y)\cdot q-((v\cdot y)x)\cdot q \\ &= -((xy)\cdot q)v+(v\cdot(xy))\cdot q. \end{aligned}$$

This is 0 by (24), so $J(x,y,q)=0$.

Case $(\sigma 1,\sigma 1,-\sigma 1)$: For $x,y\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$ and $a=c_1c_2c_3\in\mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 1}$, where $c_i\in V^{\sigma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,y,a) &= -[a,[x,y]]+[[y,a],x]-[[x,a],y] \\ &= -a\cdot(xy)+B^{\sigma}(y,a)\circ x-(y\cdot a)x-B^{\sigma}(x,a)\circ y+(x\cdot a)y \end{aligned}$$

using (30). This is 0 by [F, Lemma 3(vi)].

Case $(\sigma 1,\sigma 2,-\sigma 2)$: For $x\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$, $p\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 2}$, $q\in\mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,p,q) &= [[x,p],q]-[[q,p],x]-[[x,q],p] \\ &= 0+\sigma[(q\cdot p)h_V,x]-(x\cdot q)\cdot p && \text{by (31)} \\ &= (q\cdot p)x-(x\cdot q)\cdot p && \text{by (29).} \end{aligned}$$

This is 0 by (24).

Case $(\sigma 1,\sigma 2,-\sigma 1)$: For $x\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1}$, $p\in\mathcal{E}_{\sigma 2}$, $a\in\mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(x,p,a) &= [[x,p],a]-[[a,p],x]-[[x,a],p] \\ &= 0-(a\cdot p)x-B^{\sigma}(x,a)\circ p+2(x\cdot a)p && \text{by (30)} \\ &= -(a\cdot p)x-\operatorname{tr}(B^{\sigma}(x,a))p+2(x\cdot a)p && \text{by (26)} \\ &= -(a\cdot p)x-3(x\cdot a)p+2(x\cdot a)p && \text{by (27)} \\ &= -(a\cdot p)x-(x\cdot a)p. \end{aligned}$$

But if $q\in\mathcal{E}_{-\sigma 2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -((a\cdot p)x)\cdot q &= (x(a\cdot p))\cdot q = x\cdot((a\cdot p)\cdot q)=x\cdot((p\cdot q)a) \quad \text{by (24)} \\ &= (x\cdot a)(p\cdot q)=((x\cdot a)p)\cdot q, \end{aligned}$$

so $-(a\cdot p)x=(x\cdot a)p$.

We have proved that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Lie algebra. To complete the proof we will show that $\mathcal{E}$ is a classical Lie algebra whose root system relative to a classical Cartan subalgebra has Cartan matrix of type E_6 (see the discussion in Subsection 3.7). To do this, we let $v_1^-,\dots,v_6^-\in V^-$ and $v_1^+,\dots,v_6^+\in V^+$ be dual bases relative to g (as in Lemma 6.1.1), and use these bases to identify $\mathrm{End}(V^-)$ and $\mathrm{End}(V^+)$ with $\mathrm{M}_6(\mathbb{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{H}^+$ be the set of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{End}(V^+)$, so $\mathcal{H}^+$ is an abelian Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{End}(V^+)$ and

$$\mathcal{H} := \{(-h^*, h) : h \in \mathcal{H}^+)\}$$

is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(g)$. Since $h_V \in \mathcal{H}$, the normalizer of $\mathcal{H}$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}(g)$ and hence equals $\mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\mathcal{H}$ is an abelian Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{E}$.

It is clear that $\mathrm{ad}(h)$ is diagonalizable for $h \in \mathcal{H}$, so we have $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{E}_\mu$, where $\mathcal{E}_\mu = \{x \in \mathcal{E} : [h, x] = \mu(h)x \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{H}\}$ for μ in the dual space $\mathcal{H}^*$ of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\Sigma = \{\mu \in \mathcal{H}^* : \mu \neq 0,\ \mathcal{E}_\mu \neq 0\}$, so $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}} \mathcal{E}_\mu$ with $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{H}$.

Now let $\varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{H}^*$ with $\varepsilon_i(-h^*, h) = h_i$ where $h = \mathrm{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_6) \in \mathcal{H}^+$. It is easy to see that the elements $\mu \in \Sigma$ and the corresponding root spaces $\mathcal{E}_\mu$ are:

$$\mu = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j,\ i \neq j, \qquad \text{with } \mathcal{E}_\mu = \mathbb{F}(-E_{ji}, E_{ij}); \tag{32}$$

$$\mu = \sigma(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k),\ i < j < k,\ \sigma = \pm, \qquad \text{with } \mathcal{E}_\mu = \mathbb{F}v_i^\sigma v_j^\sigma v_k^\sigma; \tag{33}$$

$$\mu = \sigma(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6),\ \sigma = \pm, \qquad \text{with } \mathcal{E}_\mu = \mathbb{F}v_1^\sigma v_2^\sigma \dots v_6^\sigma. \tag{34}$$

To show that $\mathcal{L}$ is classical with classical Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$, it suffices, according to the definition in [Se, §II.3], to show: (i) $Z(\mathcal{E}) = 0$; (ii) $[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}] = \mathcal{E}$; (iii) $[\mathcal{E}_\mu, \mathcal{E}_{-\mu}] \neq 0$ for $\mu \in \Sigma$; and

$$\text{(iv)}\ \ \mu \in \Sigma \cup \{0\}, \nu \in \Sigma \implies \mu + k\nu \notin \Sigma \cup \{0\} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

First (i) is clear. For (ii), note that if $i = \pm 1, \pm 2$, we have $\mathcal{E}_i = [h_V, \mathcal{E}_i] \subseteq [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}]$ using (29), whereas $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathbb{F}h_V \oplus [\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0] \subseteq [\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}]$ by (31). Next (iii) is clear if μ is as in (32), and it follows from (31) if μ is as in (34). For μ as in (33), let $x = v_i^+ v_j^+ v_k^+$ and $a = v_i^- v_j^- v_k^-$. Then, it is clear from the definition of $B^+(x, a)$ that $B^+(x, a)(v_s) = 0$ for $s \notin \{i, j, k\}$. So setting $y = v_p^+ v_q^+ v_r^+$, where i, j, k, p, q, r are distinct, we have $B^+(x, a) \circ y = 0$. Hence, using (30), $[[x, a], y] = B^+(x, a) \circ y - (x \cdot a)y = y \neq 0$, and thus $[x, a] \neq 0$. Finally, one checks case-by-case that (iv) holds with $k = -1$ if $\nu = -\mu$, and with $k = 2$ otherwise.

It remains to show that there is a fundamental system Π of roots for Σ whose Cartan matrix has type E_6. Let $\mu_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$ and $\mu_6 = \varepsilon_4 + \varepsilon_5 + \varepsilon_6$; let $\Pi = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_6\}$; and let A_{ij} be the Cartan integer of the pair (α_i, α_j) for $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$. An examination of the μ_j-string through μ_i shows that $A = (A_{ij})$ is the Cartan matrix of type E_6. In particular the diagonal minors of A are positive. The remaining conditions in the definition of a fundamental system (see (a) and (b) of [Se, p. 33]) are easily checked for Π, and our proof is complete. □

As mentioned in the introduction, the construction of $\mathcal{E}$ described above is a basis free version of Cartan's original construction of the complex Lie algebra E_6.

Corollary 7.4.3. *The Kantor pair enveloped by the* 5*-graded Lie algebra* $\mathcal{E}$ *is*

$$\textstyle\bigwedge_3 = \bigwedge_3(g) := (\bigwedge_3(V^-), \bigwedge_3(V^+))$$

with trilinear products defined by

$$\{x^\sigma y^{-\sigma} z^\sigma\}^\sigma = B^\sigma(x^\sigma, y^{-\sigma}) \circ z^\sigma - (x^\sigma \cdot y^{-\sigma}) z^\sigma.$$

(See Subsections 7.1–7.3 for the notation used here.) Moreover $\bigwedge_3$ *is a split central simple Kantor pair of type* E_6 *with balanced dimension* 20 *and balanced* 2*-dimension* 1*.*

Proof. The first statement follows from (30), and then the rest follows from Theorem 7.4.2 and Theorem 3.6.5 (iii) and (iv). □

The Kantor pair $\bigwedge_3$ is a simplified and basis free version of the double of the KTS that was described by Kantor in [K1, (6.11) and §6.6] without full proofs. $\bigwedge_3$ is also isomorphic to the double of the structurable algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathbb{F} & J\\ J & \mathbb{F}\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, where J the Jordan algebra of 3×3-matrices over $\mathbb{F}$ (see [K2, §2] and [A1, §8]). However in the latter form, a natural non-trivial SP-grading is not apparent.

7.5. **The Kantor pair $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$.** Following the pattern in Subsection 6.3, we now assume that $e=(e^-,e^+)\in V^-\times V^+$ satisfies $g(e^-,e^+)=1$

As in Subsection 6.3, we have $V^\sigma=\mathbb{F}e^\sigma\oplus U^\sigma$, where $U^\sigma=(e^{-\sigma})^\perp$ in V^σ, and we let $\pi^\sigma\in\operatorname{End}(V^\sigma)$ be the projection onto the first factor in this sum. Recall from Subsection 7.4 that $h_V=\frac{1}{3}(-\operatorname{id}_{V^-},\operatorname{id}_{V^+})\in\mathcal{S}(g)$ and we define

$$t_V:=(-\pi^-,\pi^+)=(-(\pi^+)^*,\pi^+)\in\mathcal{S}(g).$$

Also let $\iota^+:\operatorname{End}(V^+)\to\mathcal{S}(g)$ be the Lie algebra isomorphism $A^+\mapsto(-(A^+)^*,A^+)$.

Proposition 7.5.1. *For $(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$, let*

$$\mathcal{E}_{i_1,i_2}=\{x\in\mathcal{E}:[h_V,x]=i_1x,\ [t_V,x]=i_2x\}\quad \text{if } -2\le i_1,i_2\le 2$$

and $\mathcal{E}_{i_1,i_2}=0$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{E}=\bigoplus_{(i_1,i_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2}\mathcal{E}_{i_1,i_2}$ is a BC_2-grading of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{E}_{0,0}=\iota^+(\pi^+\operatorname{End}(V^+)\pi^+ +(1-\pi)^+\operatorname{End}(V^+)(1-\pi^+)),\\ \mathcal{E}_{0,1}=\iota^+(\pi^+\operatorname{End}(V^+)(1-\pi^+)),\quad \mathcal{E}_{0,-1}=\iota^+((1-\pi)^+\operatorname{End}(V^+)\pi^+),\\ \mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1,0}=\textstyle\bigwedge_3(U^\sigma),\qquad \mathcal{E}_{\sigma 1,\sigma 1}=\bigwedge_2(U^\sigma)e^\sigma\quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{E}_{\sigma 2,\sigma 1}=\bigwedge_6(V^\sigma)\end{gathered}\tag{35}$$

for $\sigma=\pm$; while $\mathcal{E}_{i_1,i_2}=0$ for all other pairs (i_1,i_2) in $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

Proof. The argument is almost identical to the proof of Proposition 6.3.1, so we only point out that at the very end we can invoke Lemma 4.5.1, since $\mathcal{E}_{i,*}=\mathcal{E}_i$ for each $i\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{*,2}=0$; and we get a BC_2-grading (in fact even a B_2-grading) looking at the support in (35). □

As in Subsection 6.3, we obtain the following:

Corollary 7.5.2. *The SP-graded Kantor pair enveloped by the BC_2-graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}$ in Proposition 7.5.1 is the Kantor pair $\bigwedge_3$ described in Corollary 7.4.3 with the SP-grading $\bigwedge_3=(\bigwedge_3)_0\oplus(\bigwedge_3)_1$, where*

$$(\textstyle\bigwedge_3)_0^\sigma=\bigwedge_3(U^\sigma)\quad\text{and}\quad(\bigwedge_3)_1^\sigma=\bigwedge_2(U^\sigma)e^\sigma.\tag{36}$$

We now have the main result of this section.

Theorem 7.5.3. *Suppose $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, $g:V^-\times V^+\to\mathbb{F}$ is a non-degenerate bilinear map, $\dim(V^\sigma)=6$ for $\sigma=\pm$ and $e=(e^-,e^+)\in V^-\times V^+$ satisfies $g(e^-,e^+)=1$. Let $\bigwedge_3$ be the SP-graded Kantor pair constructed in Corollary 7.5.2. Then $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$ is a split central simple SP-graded Kantor pair of type E_6, balanced dimension 20 and balanced 2-dimension 5.*

Proof. The first two parts of the statement follow from Proposition 5.1.3, Proposition 5.4.1 and Corollary 7.4.3. Finally, using Proposition 5.4.1 and (36), we see that $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$ has balanced 2-dimension $\breve{d}=1+\dim(\mathcal{E}_{0,1})-\dim(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})=1+5-1=5$. □

Remark 7.5.4. The SP-graded Kantor pairs $\bigwedge_3$ and $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$ constructed in Subsections 7.4 and 7.5 are independent up to graded isomorphism of the choice of both g and e. Indeed, if g' and e' is a different choice, there is an isometry (in the evident sense) of g onto g' that maps e onto e'. This isometry then induces a BC_2-graded-isomorphism of $\mathcal{E}(g)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(g')$ which restricts to an SP-graded isomorphism of $\bigwedge_3(g)$ onto $\bigwedge_3(g')$. Hence $\bigwedge_3(g)^{\smile}$ is graded isomorphic to $\bigwedge_3(g')^{\smile}$

Remark 7.5.5. The construction of $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$ given above is a simple new basis free construction of the double of the KTS C_{55}^2 constructed by Kantor in [K2, §4] and [K1, §6.6] without full proofs. The pair $\bigwedge_3^{\smile}$ is of particular interest since, when $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed of characteristic 0, it is one of the two central simple Kantor pairs of exceptional type that does not arise by doubling a structurable algebra—the other being the famous Jordan pair $(M_{1,2}(C), M_{1,2}(C^{\mathrm{op}}))$ determined by a Cayley algebra C [L, §8.15].

References

[A1] B. Allison, *A class of nonassociative algebras with involution containing the class of Jordan algebras*, Math. Ann. **237** (1978), 133–156.

[A2] B. Allison, *Simple structurable algebras of skew-dimension one*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1979), 1245–1279.

[ABG] B. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao, *Lie algebras graded by the root systems* BC_r*,* $r \geq 2$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **158** (2002), no. 751.

[AF1] B. Allison and J. Faulkner, *Elementary groups and invertibility for Kantor pairs*, *Comm. Algebra* **27** (1999), 519-556.

[AF2] B. Allison and J. Faulkner, *Dynkin diagrams and short Peirce gradings of Kantor pairs*, in preparation.

[AS] B. Allison and O. Smirnov, *Simple Kantor pairs*, in preparation.

[BS] G. Benkart and O. Smirnov, *Lie algebras graded by the root system* BC_1, J. Lie Theory **13** (2003), 91–132.

[BDDRE] L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M. J. Duff, W. Rubens and H. Ebrahim, *Freudenthal triple classification of three-qubit entanglement*, Phys. Rev. A **80** (2009), 032326.

[B1] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra, Part I*, Translated from the French, Elements of Mathematics, Hermann, Paris, 1974.

[B2] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*, Translated from the French, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[C] É. Cartan, *Sur la structure des groups de transformations finis et continues*, Thèse, Paris, Nony 1894; reprinted in Œuvres complètes, Partie I, Groupes de Lie, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1952, Vol. 1: 137-287.

[EO] A. Elduque and S. Okubo, *Special Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems and Lie algebras with dicyclic symmetry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 141 (2011), 1225-1262.

[EKO] A. Elduque, N. Kamiya and S. Okubo, *Left unital Kantor triple systems and structurable algebras*, to appear in Linear and Multilinear Algebra, arXiv:1205.2489v1.

[F] J. Faulkner, *Some forms of exceptional Lie algebras*, to appear in Comm. Algebra, arXiv:1305.0746v1.

[GLN] E. García, M. Gómez Lozano and E. Neher, *Nondegeneracy for Lie triple systems and Kantor pairs*, Canad. Math. Bull. **54** (2011), 442–455.

[G] M. Gnaydin, *Extended superconformal symmetry, Freudenthal triple systems and gauged WZW models*, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics **447** (1995), 54–69.

[H] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

[J1] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.

[J2] N. Jacobson, *General representation theory of Jordan algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1951), 509–53.0

[K1] I.L. Kantor, *Some generalizations of Jordan algebras* (Russian), Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. **13** (1972), 407–499.

[K2] I.L. Kantor, *Models of exceptional Lie algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **14** (1973), 254–258.
[KS] I.L. Kantor and I.M. Skopec, *Freudenthal trilinear operations* (Russian), Trudy. Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. **18**(1978), 250–263.
[L] O. Loos, *Jordan pairs*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **460**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
[LN] O. Loos and E. Neher, *Steinberg groups for Jordan pairs*, Oberwolfach Preprints, OWP 2011 - 29, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach.
[LB] A. Fernández López and M. Tocón Barroso, *Strongly prime Jordan pairs with nonzero socle*, Manuscripta Math. **111** (2003), 321–340
[MQSTZ] A. Marrani, C. Qiu, S. D. Shih, A. Tagliaferro and B. Zumino, *Freudenthal gauge theory*, J. High Energy Physics, March 2013:132.
[N1] E. Neher, *Involutive gradings in Jordan structures*, Comm. Algebra **9** (1981), 575–599.
[N2] E. Neher, *Lie algebras graded by 3-graded root systems and Jordan pairs covered by grids*, J. Algebra **140** (1991), 284-329.
[Se] G.B. Seligman, *Modular Lie algebras*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 40 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York 1967.
[S] O. Smirnov, *Simple and semisimple structurable algebras*, Algebra and Logic **29** (1990), 377–394.
[Y] K. Yamaguti, *Remarks on characterizations of the points and symplecta in the meta-symplectic geometry*, Mem. Fac. Gen. Ed. Kumamoto Univ. Natur. Sci. No. 11 (1976), part 1, 1–8.
[YO] K. Yamaguti and A. Ono, *On representations of Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems* $U(\epsilon, \delta)$, Bull. Fac. School Ed. Hiroshima Univ. Part II **7** (1984), 4351.
[Z1] E. Zelmanov, *Primary Jordan triple systems II*, Sibirskii Math. Zh. **25** (1984), 50–61.
[Z2] E. Zelmanov, *Lie algebras with a finite grading*, Math. USSR-Sb. **52** (1985), 347–385.

(Bruce Allison) Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1
E-mail address: ballison@ualberta.ca

(John Faulkner) Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Kerchof Hall, P.O. Box 400137, Charlottesville VA 22904-4137 USA
E-mail address: jrf@virginia.edu

(Oleg Smirnov) Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston, SC, USA 29424-0001
E-mail address: smirnov@cofc.edu